

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

State

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ohio	805	100.0	100.0	100.0

2002. How likely are you to vote in national elections?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	600	74.6	74.6	74.6
	Very likely	135	16.8	16.8	91.3
	Somewhat likely	70	8.7	8.7	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democratic	274	34.0	34.0	34.0
	Republican	298	37.0	37.0	71.0
	Independent	233	29.0	29.0	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

As many as 40 million American workers lost jobs as a result of the COVID-19 crisis. Do you support or oppose reductions in the admission of new immigrants and foreign guest workers while unemployment rates remain at historic highs?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	264	32.8	32.8	32.8
	Somewhat support reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	202	25.1	25.1	57.9
	Somewhat oppose reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	134	16.7	16.7	74.6
	Strongly oppose reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	99	12.3	12.3	86.9
	Don't know/Not sure	105	13.1	13.1	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Some argue that as the U.S. economy reopens, limiting the admission of new immigrants and foreign guest workers would help laid-off American workers reclaim lost jobs. Others argue that foreign workers are essential to restarting the economy. Which of these claims most closely reflect your views?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Limiting admission of new immigrants and guest workers will improve the chances of laid-off American workers being rehired.	426	52.9	52.9	52.9
	Maintaining high levels of immigration and guest worker admissions will help the economy recover more quickly.	256	31.9	31.9	84.8
	Don't know/Not sure	122	15.2	15.2	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

The United States, like many other nations, has slowed the admission of foreign nationals - visitors and new immigrants - in response to the COVID-19 crisis. Do you support or oppose continuing the policy that has slowed the admission of foreign nationals until we can provide thorough health screening for everyone entering the country?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	363	45.1	45.1	45.1
	Somewhat support	285	35.4	35.4	80.4
	Somewhat oppose	55	6.8	6.8	87.2
	Strongly oppose	33	4.0	4.0	91.3
	Don't know/Not sure	70	8.7	8.7	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

When it comes to immigration policy, which of the following do you believe should be the federal government's top priority right now?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Reducing overall immigration levels and admission of foreign guest workers	237	29.4	29.4	29.4
	Increasing overall immigration levels and admission of foreign guest workers	68	8.5	8.5	37.9
	Securing our borders	172	21.4	21.4	59.2
	Deporting illegal immigrants	78	9.7	9.7	68.9
	Putting illegal immigrants including DACA recipients on a pathway to citizenship	210	26.1	26.1	95.0
	Decriminalizing illegal immigration	40	5.0	5.0	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

AgeGroup

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	144	17.9	18.0	18.0
	30-49	264	32.8	33.0	51.0
	50-64	240	29.8	30.0	81.0
	65+	152	18.9	19.0	100.0
	Total	799	99.3	100.0	
Missing	.00	6	.7		
Total		805	100.0		

AgeGroup-B

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	88	10.9	11.0	11.0
	25-34	120	14.9	15.0	26.0
	35-54	265	32.9	33.2	59.2
	55-69	269	33.4	33.6	92.8
	70+	58	7.2	7.2	100.0
	Total	799	99.3	100.0	
Missing	.00	6	.7		
Total		805	100.0		

Generation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Gen Z (1996-2010)	88	10.9	11.0	11.0
	Millennials (1980-1995)	205	25.5	25.7	36.7
	Gen X (1965-1979)	203	25.2	25.4	62.1
	Baby Boomers (1946-1964)	283	35.2	35.5	97.5
	Silent Generation (Pre 1946)	20	2.5	2.5	100.0
	Total	799	99.3	100.0	
Missing	.00	6	.7		
Total		805	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No College Degree	435	54.1	54.1	54.1
	College Degree+	370	45.9	45.9	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White	638	79.2	80.0	80.0
	Hispanic	24	3.0	3.0	83.0
	African American	112	13.9	14.0	97.0
	Asian	8	1.0	1.0	98.0
	Other	16	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	797	99.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	8	1.0		
Total		805	100.0		

Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	416	51.7	51.7	51.7
	Single, never married	223	27.7	27.7	79.3
	Divorced/separated/widowed	126	15.6	15.6	95.0
	Civil union or domestic partnership	40	5.0	5.0	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Large city (greater than 500,000 residents)	104	12.9	12.9	12.9
	Medium city (100,000-500,000 residents)	140	17.4	17.4	30.3
	Small city (less than 100,000 residents)	127	15.8	15.8	46.1
	Suburbs	296	36.8	36.9	83.0
	Rural area	136	16.9	17.0	100.0
	Total	803	99.8	100.0	
Missing	0	2	.2		
Total		805	100.0		

Ideology Recoded

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	177	22.0	22.7	22.7
	Moderate	289	35.9	37.1	59.8
	Conservative	310	38.6	39.9	99.7
	Populist	3	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	779	96.7	100.0	
Missing	.00	26	3.3		
Total		805	100.0		

Religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Catholic	178	22.1	22.1	22.1
	Protestant	301	37.4	37.4	59.5
	Jewish	17	2.1	2.1	61.6
	Other/None	309	38.4	38.4	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Born Again

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	156	19.4	51.9	51.9
	No/NS	145	18.0	48.1	100.0
	Total	301	37.4	100.0	
Missing	0	504	62.6		
Total		805	100.0		

Union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	120	14.9	15.1	15.1
	No	675	83.8	84.9	100.0
	Total	795	98.8	100.0	
Missing	0	10	1.2		
Total		805	100.0		

Child<17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	232	28.8	29.1	29.1
	No	567	70.4	70.9	100.0
	Total	799	99.2	100.0	
Missing	0	6	.8		
Total		805	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Employment Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working full time	391	48.5	49.3	49.3
	Working part time	84	10.4	10.5	59.8
	Temporarily unemployed and looking for work	49	6.1	6.2	66.0
	Temporarily unemployed and not looking for work	37	4.6	4.7	70.7
	Student	30	3.8	3.8	74.6
	Stay at home parent/spouse	38	4.7	4.8	79.3
	Retired	164	20.4	20.7	100.0
	Total	793	98.5	100.0	
Missing	0	12	1.5		
Total		805	100.0		

Veteran/Armed Forces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	175	21.7	21.7	21.7
	No	630	78.3	78.3	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Resident

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	My city or town	236	29.3	29.7	29.7
	America	406	50.4	51.1	80.8
	The planet Earth	153	19.0	19.2	100.0
	Total	795	98.8	100.0	
Missing	0	10	1.2		
Total		805	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$25,000	106	13.2	13.5	13.5
	\$25001 - 35000	93	11.5	11.8	25.4
	\$35001 - 50000	99	12.3	12.6	38.0
	\$50001 - 75000	150	18.6	19.1	57.1
	\$75001 - 100000	109	13.5	13.9	71.0
	\$100001 - 150000	158	19.7	20.2	91.2
	Greater than \$150000	69	8.6	8.8	100.0
	Total	784	97.4	100.0	
Missing	0	21	2.6		
Total		805	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	386	48.0	48.0	48.0
	Female	419	52.0	52.0	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Region

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Northwest	176	21.9	22.0	22.0
	Cleveland-NE	288	35.8	36.0	58.0
	Columbus-Ctrl	152	18.9	19.0	77.0
	Cincinnati-SW	128	15.9	16.0	93.0
	Southeast	56	7.0	7.0	100.0
	Total	800	99.4	100.0	
Missing	.00	5	.6		
Total		805	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative