

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in North Carolina  
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

State

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	North Carolina	805	100.0	100.0	100.0

2002. How likely are you to vote in national elections?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	614	76.2	76.2	76.2
	Very likely	125	15.5	15.5	91.8
	Somewhat likely	66	8.2	8.2	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democratic	282	35.0	35.0	35.0
	Republican	258	32.0	32.0	67.0
	Independent	266	33.0	33.0	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

As many as 40 million American workers lost jobs as a result of the COVID-19 crisis. Do you support or oppose reductions in the admission of new immigrants and foreign guest workers while unemployment rates remain at historic highs?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	279	34.6	34.6	34.6
	Somewhat support reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	184	22.9	22.9	57.5
	Somewhat oppose reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	133	16.5	16.5	74.0
	Strongly oppose reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	108	13.4	13.4	87.4
	Don't know/Not sure	101	12.6	12.6	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in North Carolina  
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Some argue that as the U.S. economy reopens, limiting the admission of new immigrants and foreign guest workers would help laid-off American workers reclaim lost jobs. Others argue that foreign workers are essential to restarting the economy. Which of these claims most closely reflect your views?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Limiting admission of new immigrants and guest workers will improve the chances of laid-off American workers being rehired.	443	55.1	55.1	55.1
	Maintaining high levels of immigration and guest worker admissions will help the economy recover more quickly.	255	31.7	31.7	86.7
	Don't know/Not sure	107	13.3	13.3	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

The United States, like many other nations, has slowed the admission of foreign nationals - visitors and new immigrants - in response to the COVID-19 crisis. Do you support or oppose continuing the policy that has slowed the admission of foreign nationals until we can provide thorough health screening for everyone entering the country?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	375	46.6	46.6	46.6
	Somewhat support	276	34.2	34.2	80.9
	Somewhat oppose	74	9.2	9.2	90.1
	Strongly oppose	36	4.4	4.4	94.5
	Don't know/Not sure	44	5.5	5.5	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

When it comes to immigration policy, which of the following do you believe should be the federal government's top priority right now?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Reducing overall immigration levels and admission of foreign guest workers	229	28.5	28.5	28.5
	Increasing overall immigration levels and admission of foreign guest workers	73	9.1	9.1	37.6
	Securing our borders	164	20.4	20.4	58.0
	Deporting illegal immigrants	79	9.8	9.8	67.8
	Putting illegal immigrants including DACA recipients on a pathway to citizenship	218	27.0	27.0	94.9
	Decriminalizing illegal immigration	41	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in North Carolina  
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

AgeGroup

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	145	18.0	18.2	18.2
	30-49	290	36.0	36.4	54.5
	50-64	217	27.0	27.3	81.8
	65+	145	18.0	18.2	100.0
	Total	797	99.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	8	1.0		
Total		805	100.0		

AgeGroup-B

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	93	11.6	11.7	11.7
	25-34	129	16.1	16.2	27.9
	35-54	270	33.6	33.9	61.8
	55-69	237	29.5	29.8	91.6
	70+	67	8.3	8.4	100.0
	Total	797	99.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	8	1.0		
Total		805	100.0		

Generation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Gen Z (1996-2010)	93	11.6	11.7	11.7
	Millennials (1980-1995)	212	26.3	26.6	38.3
	Gen X (1965-1979)	197	24.5	24.7	63.0
	Baby Boomers (1946-1964)	271	33.7	34.1	97.1
	Silent Generation (Pre 1946)	23	2.9	2.9	100.0
	Total	797	99.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	8	1.0		
Total		805	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in North Carolina  
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No College Degree	439	54.5	55.0	55.0
	College Degree+	359	44.6	45.0	100.0
	Total	798	99.1	100.0	
Missing	.00	7	.9		
Total		805	100.0		

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White	559	69.4	70.0	70.0
	Hisp	40	5.0	5.0	75.0
	AfrAmer	168	20.8	21.0	96.0
	Asian	8	1.0	1.0	97.0
	Other	24	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	798	99.1	100.0	
Missing	.00	7	.9		
Total		805	100.0		

Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	432	53.7	54.1	54.1
	Single, never married	199	24.7	24.9	79.0
	Divorced/separated/widowed	121	15.1	15.2	94.2
	Civil union or domestic partnership	46	5.7	5.8	100.0
	Total	799	99.2	100.0	
Missing	0	6	.8		
Total		805	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in North Carolina  
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Large city (greater than 500,000 residents)	103	12.8	12.9	12.9
	Medium city (100,000-500,000 residents)	163	20.3	20.4	33.3
	Small city (less than 100,000 residents)	139	17.3	17.4	50.7
	Suburbs	187	23.2	23.4	74.1
	Rural area	207	25.8	25.9	100.0
	Total	800	99.4	100.0	
Missing	0	5	.6		
Total		805	100.0		

Ideology Recoded

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	187	23.2	24.2	24.2
	Moderate	270	33.5	34.8	59.0
	Conservative	315	39.1	40.7	99.6
	Populist	3	.4	.4	100.0
	Total	774	96.2	100.0	
Missing	.00	31	3.8		
Total		805	100.0		

Religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Catholic	92	11.5	11.5	11.5
	Protestant	423	52.5	52.5	64.0
	Jewish	18	2.2	2.2	66.2
	Other/None	272	33.8	33.8	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in North Carolina  
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Born Again

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	253	31.5	59.9	59.9
	No/NS	169	21.1	40.1	100.0
	Total	423	52.5	100.0	
Missing	0	382	47.5		
Total		805	100.0		

Union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	63	7.8	7.9	7.9
	No	735	91.3	92.1	100.0
	Total	798	99.1	100.0	
Missing	0	7	.9		
Total		805	100.0		

Child<17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	241	29.9	30.1	30.1
	No	559	69.4	69.9	100.0
	Total	800	99.3	100.0	
Missing	0	5	.7		
Total		805	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in North Carolina  
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Employment Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working full time	353	43.9	44.4	44.4
	Working part time	77	9.5	9.6	54.0
	Temporarily unemployed and looking for work	42	5.2	5.3	59.3
	Temporarily unemployed and not looking for work	28	3.5	3.6	62.8
	Student	44	5.5	5.6	68.4
	Stay at home parent/spouse	51	6.3	6.4	74.8
	Retired	201	24.9	25.2	100.0
	Total	796	98.9	100.0	
Missing	0	9	1.1		
Total		805	100.0		

Veteran/Armed Forces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	188	23.4	23.4	23.4
	No	617	76.6	76.6	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Resident

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	My city or town	241	30.0	30.5	30.5
	America	390	48.4	49.3	79.9
	The planet Earth	159	19.7	20.1	100.0
	Total	790	98.2	100.0	
Missing	0	15	1.8		
Total		805	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in North Carolina  
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 3.5 Percentage Points

Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$25,000	118	14.7	15.2	15.2
	\$25001 - 35000	77	9.6	9.9	25.1
	\$35001 - 50000	101	12.5	12.9	38.0
	\$50001 - 75000	151	18.8	19.5	57.5
	\$75001 - 100000	98	12.1	12.5	70.0
	\$100001 - 150000	153	19.0	19.7	89.7
	Greater than \$150000	80	9.9	10.3	100.0
	Total	778	96.6	100.0	
Missing	0	27	3.4		
Total		805	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	386	48.0	48.0	48.0
	Female	419	52.0	52.0	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

NC Region

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Mountains	79	9.8	9.8	9.8
	The Piedmont	445	55.3	55.3	65.1
	Coastal Plains	281	34.9	34.9	100.0
	Total	805	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative