

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Minnesota
6/10/20 - 6/12/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

State

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Minnesota	604	100.0	100.0	100.0

2002. How likely are you to vote in national elections?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	472	78.2	78.2	78.2
	Very likely	93	15.3	15.3	93.5
	Somewhat likely	39	6.5	6.5	100.0
	Total	604	100.0	100.0	

Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democratic	223	37.0	37.0	37.0
	Republican	211	35.0	35.0	72.0
	Independent	169	28.0	28.0	100.0
	Total	604	100.0	100.0	

As many as 40 million American workers lost jobs as a result of the COVID-19 crisis. Do you support or oppose reductions in the admission of new immigrants and foreign guest workers while unemployment rates remain at historic highs?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	162	26.9	26.9	26.9
	Somewhat support reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	174	28.8	28.8	55.6
	Somewhat oppose reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	114	18.8	18.8	74.5
	Strongly oppose reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	84	13.9	13.9	88.3
	Don't know/Not sure	71	11.7	11.7	100.0
	Total	604	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Minnesota
6/10/20 - 6/12/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Some argue that as the U.S. economy reopens, limiting the admission of new immigrants and foreign guest workers would help laid-off American workers reclaim lost jobs. Others argue that foreign workers are essential to restarting the economy. Which of these claims most closely reflect your views?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Limiting admission of new immigrants and guest workers will improve the chances of laid-off American workers being rehired.	265	43.8	43.8	43.8
	Maintaining high levels of immigration and guest worker admissions will help the economy recover more quickly.	220	36.4	36.4	80.2
	Don't know/Not sure	120	19.8	19.8	100.0
	Total	604	100.0	100.0	

The United States, like many other nations, has slowed the admission of foreign nationals - visitors and new immigrants - in response to the COVID-19 crisis. Do you support or oppose continuing the policy that has slowed the admission of foreign nationals until we can provide thorough health screening for everyone entering the country?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	257	42.5	42.5	42.5
	Somewhat support	209	34.6	34.6	77.1
	Somewhat oppose	57	9.4	9.4	86.5
	Strongly oppose	23	3.9	3.9	90.4
	Don't know/Not sure	58	9.6	9.6	100.0
	Total	604	100.0	100.0	

When it comes to immigration policy, which of the following do you believe should be the federal government's top priority right now?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Reducing overall immigration levels and admission of foreign guest workers	160	26.5	26.5	26.5
	Increasing overall immigration levels and admission of foreign guest workers	29	4.9	4.9	31.3
	Securing our borders	129	21.4	21.4	52.7
	Deporting illegal immigrants	64	10.6	10.6	63.2
	Putting illegal immigrants including DACA recipients on a pathway to citizenship	179	29.7	29.7	93.0
	Decriminalizing illegal immigration	43	7.0	7.0	100.0
	Total	604	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Minnesota
6/10/20 - 6/12/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

AgeGroup

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	113	18.8	19.0	19.0
	30-49	221	36.6	37.0	56.0
	50-64	173	28.7	29.0	85.0
	65+	90	14.8	15.0	100.0
	Total	597	98.8	100.0	
Missing	.00	7	1.2		
Total		604	100.0		

AgeGroup-B

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	74	12.3	12.4	12.4
	25-34	92	15.2	15.4	27.8
	35-54	209	34.6	35.0	62.8
	55-69	176	29.2	29.5	92.3
	70+	46	7.6	7.7	100.0
	Total	597	98.8	100.0	
Missing	.00	7	1.2		
Total		604	100.0		

Generation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Gen Z (1996-2010)	74	12.3	12.4	12.4
	Millennials (1980-1995)	167	27.7	28.0	40.4
	Gen X (1965-1979)	145	24.0	24.3	64.7
	Baby Boomers (1946-1964)	189	31.3	31.6	96.4
	Silent Generation (Pre 1946)	22	3.6	3.6	100.0
	Total	597	98.8	100.0	
Missing	.00	7	1.2		
Total		604	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Minnesota
6/10/20 - 6/12/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No College Degree	332	54.9	55.0	55.0
	College Degree+	271	44.9	45.0	100.0
	Total	603	99.8	100.0	
Missing	.00	1	.2		
Total		604	100.0		

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White	520	86.1	87.0	87.0
	Hisp	24	4.0	4.0	91.0
	AfrAmer	24	4.0	4.0	95.0
	Asian	12	2.0	2.0	97.0
	Other	18	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	598	99.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	6	1.0		
Total		604	100.0		

Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	315	52.1	52.1	52.1
	Single, never married	183	30.2	30.2	82.4
	Divorced/separated/widowed	82	13.6	13.6	96.0
	Civil union or domestic partnership	24	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	604	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Minnesota
6/10/20 - 6/12/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Large city (greater than 500,000 residents)	75	12.4	12.4	12.4
	Medium city (100,000-500,000 residents)	79	13.1	13.1	25.6
	Small city (less than 100,000 residents)	127	21.0	21.0	46.6
	Suburbs	209	34.6	34.6	81.2
	Rural area	113	18.8	18.8	100.0
	Total	604	100.0	100.0	

Ideology Recoded

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	156	25.9	26.7	26.7
	Moderate	198	32.8	33.8	60.5
	Conservative	230	38.1	39.2	99.7
	Populist	2	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	586	97.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	18	3.0		
Total		604	100.0		

Religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Catholic	140	23.2	23.2	23.2
	Protestant	212	35.1	35.1	58.3
	Jewish	11	1.9	1.9	60.1
	Other/None	241	39.9	39.9	100.0
	Total	604	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Minnesota
6/10/20 - 6/12/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Born Again

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	82	13.5	38.6	38.6
	No/NS	130	21.5	61.4	100.0
	Total	212	35.1	100.0	
Missing	0	392	64.9		
Total		604	100.0		

Union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	138	22.8	23.2	23.2
	No	458	75.8	76.8	100.0
	Total	596	98.7	100.0	
Missing	0	8	1.3		
Total		604	100.0		

Child<17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	178	29.5	29.8	29.8
	No	420	69.5	70.2	100.0
	Total	598	99.0	100.0	
Missing	0	6	1.0		
Total		604	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Minnesota
6/10/20 - 6/12/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Employment Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working full time	312	51.6	51.7	51.7
	Working part time	72	12.0	12.0	63.7
	Temporarily unemployed and looking for work	24	4.0	4.0	67.7
	Temporarily unemployed and not looking for work	32	5.2	5.3	73.0
	Student	27	4.6	4.6	77.5
	Stay at home parent/spouse	35	5.9	5.9	83.4
	Retired	100	16.6	16.6	100.0
	Total	603	99.8	100.0	
Missing	0	1	.2		
Total		604	100.0		

Veteran/Armed Forces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	100	16.6	16.6	16.6
	No	504	83.4	83.4	100.0
	Total	604	100.0	100.0	

Resident

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	My city or town	181	30.0	30.5	30.5
	America	270	44.6	45.4	75.9
	The planet Earth	143	23.7	24.1	100.0
	Total	594	98.3	100.0	
Missing	0	10	1.7		
Total		604	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Minnesota
6/10/20 - 6/12/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$25,000	56	9.3	9.8	9.8
	\$25001 - 35000	43	7.1	7.5	17.3
	\$35001 - 50000	68	11.2	11.7	29.0
	\$50001 - 75000	119	19.7	20.6	49.6
	\$75001 - 100000	77	12.8	13.4	63.0
	\$100001 - 150000	138	22.9	24.0	87.0
	Greater than \$150000	75	12.4	13.0	100.0
	Total	577	95.5	100.0	
Missing	0	27	4.5		
Total		604	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	290	48.0	48.0	48.0
	Female	314	52.0	52.0	100.0
	Total	604	100.0	100.0	

MN Region

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Northeast/Northwest/ Central	137	22.7	22.7	22.7
	Metro	381	63.1	63.2	86.0
	Southeast/South Central/Southwest	85	14.0	14.0	100.0
	Total	603	99.8	100.0	
Missing	.00	1	.2		
Total		604	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative