

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

State

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Arizona	607	100.0	100.0	100.0

2002. How likely are you to vote in national elections?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	468	77.0	77.0	77.0
	Very likely	92	15.2	15.2	92.3
	Somewhat likely	47	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	607	100.0	100.0	

Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democratic	170	28.0	28.0	28.0
	Republican	194	32.0	32.0	60.0
	Independent	243	40.0	40.0	100.0
	Total	607	100.0	100.0	

As many as 40 million American workers lost jobs as a result of the COVID-19 crisis. Do you support or oppose reductions in the admission of new immigrants and foreign guest workers while unemployment rates remain at historic highs?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	214	35.2	35.2	35.2
	Somewhat support reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	145	23.9	23.9	59.1
	Somewhat oppose reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	100	16.5	16.5	75.6
	Strongly oppose reductions in immigration and guest worker admissions	83	13.7	13.7	89.3
	Don't know/Not sure	65	10.7	10.7	100.0
	Total	607	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Some argue that as the U.S. economy reopens, limiting the admission of new immigrants and foreign guest workers would help laid-off American workers reclaim lost jobs. Others argue that foreign workers are essential to restarting the economy. Which of these claims most closely reflect your views?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Limiting admission of new immigrants and guest workers will improve the chances of laid-off American workers being rehired.	318	52.3	52.3	52.3
	Maintaining high levels of immigration and guest worker admissions will help the economy recover more quickly.	210	34.5	34.5	86.8
	Don't know/Not sure	80	13.2	13.2	100.0
	Total	607	100.0	100.0	

The United States, like many other nations, has slowed the admission of foreign nationals - visitors and new immigrants - in response to the COVID-19 crisis. Do you support or oppose continuing the policy that has slowed the admission of foreign nationals until we can provide thorough health screening for everyone entering the country?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	287	47.3	47.3	47.3
	Somewhat support	198	32.6	32.6	79.9
	Somewhat oppose	60	9.8	9.8	89.8
	Strongly oppose	19	3.1	3.1	92.9
	Don't know/Not sure	43	7.1	7.1	100.0
	Total	607	100.0	100.0	

When it comes to immigration policy, which of the following do you believe should be the federal government's top priority right now?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Reducing overall immigration levels and admission of foreign guest workers	160	26.3	26.3	26.3
	Increasing overall immigration levels and admission of foreign guest workers	51	8.4	8.4	34.8
	Securing our borders	151	24.9	24.9	59.7
	Deporting illegal immigrants	59	9.8	9.8	69.4
	Putting illegal immigrants including DACA recipients on a pathway to citizenship	157	25.8	25.8	95.2
	Decriminalizing illegal immigration	29	4.8	4.8	100.0
	Total	607	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Age group A

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	84	13.9	14.0	14.0
	30-49	205	33.7	34.0	48.0
	50-64	169	27.8	28.0	76.0
	65+	144	23.8	24.0	100.0
	Total	602	99.2	100.0	
Missing	.00	5	.8		
Total		607	100.0		

Age group B

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	56	9.3	9.3	9.3
	25-34	70	11.5	11.6	20.9
	35-54	227	37.5	37.8	58.7
	55-69	165	27.2	27.4	86.1
	70+	84	13.8	13.9	100.0
	Total	602	99.2	100.0	
Missing	.00	5	.8		
Total		607	100.0		

Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No College Degree	334	55.0	55.0	55.0
	College Degree+	273	45.0	45.0	100.0
Total		607	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Generation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Gen Z (1996-2010)	56	9.3	9.3	9.3
	Millennials (1980-1995)	135	22.3	22.5	31.8
	Gen X (1965-1979)	173	28.5	28.8	60.6
	Baby Boomers (1946-1964)	213	35.1	35.4	96.0
	Silent Generation (Pre 1946)	24	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	602	99.2	100.0	
Missing	.00	5	.8		
Total		607	100.0		

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White	452	74.4	75.0	75.0
	Hisp	90	14.9	15.0	90.0
	AfrAmer	18	3.0	3.0	93.0
	Asian	12	2.0	2.0	95.0
	Other	30	5.0	5.0	100.0
	Total	602	99.2	100.0	
Missing	.00	5	.8		
Total		607	100.0		

Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	336	55.4	55.6	55.6
	Single, never married	144	23.7	23.8	79.4
	Divorced/separated/widowed	99	16.3	16.3	95.7
	Civil union or domestic partnership	26	4.3	4.3	100.0
	Total	605	99.7	100.0	
Missing	0	2	.3		
Total		607	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Large city (greater than 500,000 residents)	212	35.0	35.0	35.0
	Medium city (100,000-500,000 residents)	120	19.8	19.8	54.8
	Small city (less than 100,000 residents)	72	11.8	11.9	66.7
	Suburbs	148	24.3	24.3	91.0
	Rural area	54	9.0	9.0	100.0
	Total	606	99.9	100.0	
Missing	0	1	.1		
Total		607	100.0		

Ideology Recoded

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	124	20.5	21.1	21.1
	Moderate	233	38.4	39.6	60.7
	Conservative	228	37.5	38.7	99.4
	Populist	4	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	589	97.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	18	3.0		
Total		607	100.0		

Religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Catholic	121	20.0	20.0	20.0
	Protestant	196	32.3	32.3	52.3
	Jewish	25	4.1	4.1	56.3
	Other/None	265	43.7	43.7	100.0
	Total	607	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Born Again

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	97	16.1	49.8	49.8
	No/NS	98	16.2	50.2	100.0
	Total	196	32.3	100.0	
Missing	0	411	67.7		
Total		607	100.0		

Union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	51	8.5	8.5	8.5
	No	550	90.5	91.5	100.0
	Total	601	99.0	100.0	
Missing	0	6	1.0		
Total		607	100.0		

Child<17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	183	30.2	30.5	30.5
	No	418	68.9	69.5	100.0
	Total	602	99.1	100.0	
Missing	0	5	.9		
Total		607	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Employment Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working full time	254	41.9	42.1	42.1
	Working part time	43	7.1	7.2	49.3
	Temporarily unemployed and looking for work	31	5.1	5.1	54.4
	Temporarily unemployed and not looking for work	26	4.4	4.4	58.7
	Student	20	3.2	3.3	62.0
	Stay at home parent/spouse	53	8.7	8.8	70.8
	Retired	176	29.0	29.2	100.0
	Total	604	99.4	100.0	
Missing	0	3	.6		
Total		607	100.0		

Veteran/Armed Forces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	149	24.5	24.5	24.5
	No	458	75.5	75.5	100.0
	Total	607	100.0	100.0	

Resident

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	My city or town	154	25.4	25.6	25.6
	America	329	54.1	54.6	80.2
	The planet Earth	119	19.6	19.8	100.0
	Total	602	99.1	100.0	
Missing	0	5	.9		
Total		607	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
6/10/20 - 6/11/20 MOE +/- 4.0 Percentage Points

Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$25,000	77	12.6	12.9	12.9
	\$25001 - 35000	57	9.3	9.5	22.5
	\$35001 - 50000	80	13.2	13.6	36.0
	\$50001 - 75000	123	20.3	20.8	56.8
	\$75001 - 100000	72	11.9	12.2	69.0
	\$100001 - 150000	120	19.8	20.3	89.3
	Greater than \$150000	64	10.5	10.7	100.0
	Total	593	97.7	100.0	
Missing	0	14	2.3		
Total		607	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	291	48.0	48.0	48.0
	Female	316	52.0	52.0	100.0
	Total	607	100.0	100.0	

AZ Region

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Maricopa County	375	61.7	61.7	61.7
	Pima County	97	16.0	16.0	77.8
	Rest of state	135	22.2	22.2	100.0
	Total	607	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative