

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.3 Percentage Points

State

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ohio	511	100.0	100.0	100.0

2002. How likely are you to vote in statewide elections?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	421	82.4	82.4	82.4
	Very likely	53	10.3	10.3	92.7
	Somewhat likely	37	7.3	7.3	100.0
	Total	511	100.0	100.0	

Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democratic	174	34.0	34.0	34.0
	Republican	189	37.0	37.0	71.0
	Independent	148	29.0	29.0	100.0
	Total	511	100.0	100.0	

1. The plan is designed to stop illegal immigration by adding 400 miles of fencing and other security enhancements, and improve screening to stop the smuggling of human beings and drugs through legal ports of entry along the southern border. Do you support or oppose these proposals to improve security along the border?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	179	35.0	35.0	35.0
	Somewhat support	130	25.5	25.5	60.5
	Somewhat oppose	77	15.0	15.0	75.6
	Strongly oppose	102	19.9	19.9	95.5
	Not sure	23	4.5	4.5	100.0
	Total	511	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.3 Percentage Points

2. The President says his plan will restore the integrity of our broken asylum system by preventing migrants from making fraudulent claims and being released into the country. He says those with legitimate claims will quickly be admitted; if they're not, they will promptly be returned home. Do you support or oppose this part of the plan?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	192	37.6	37.6	37.6
	Somewhat support	135	26.4	26.4	64.0
	Somewhat oppose	63	12.3	12.3	76.3
	Strongly oppose	86	16.8	16.8	93.2
	Not sure	35	6.8	6.8	100.0
	Total	511	100.0	100.0	

3. Many new immigrants make low-wages and have low-skills and this strains our safety net and generous welfare programs. To prevent that, the president's plan gives priority to higher-wage workers who are self-sufficient. Do you support or oppose this part of the plan?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	165	32.4	32.4	32.4
	Somewhat support	138	27.0	27.0	59.4
	Somewhat oppose	68	13.2	13.2	72.6
	Strongly oppose	95	18.7	18.7	91.3
	Not sure	45	8.7	8.7	100.0
	Total	511	100.0	100.0	

4. Currently, about 66 percent of immigrants are admitted to the United States based on having relatives, including extended family members, in this country. Only about 12 percent are admitted based on their job skills and education. Do you support or oppose the recommendation that we give greater preference to immigrants with needed skills, and limit family-based immigration to spouses and minor children?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	143	27.9	27.9	27.9
	Somewhat support	140	27.4	27.4	55.3
	Somewhat oppose	92	18.0	18.0	73.3
	Strongly oppose	80	15.6	15.6	88.9
	Not sure	57	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	511	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.3 Percentage Points

5. What also may be in the immigration plan is a requirement that all U.S. employers use E-Verify to make sure that all new employees are lawfully present in the U.S. and legally eligible to work. Do you support or oppose this requirement?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly support	271	52.9	52.9	52.9
Somewhat support	140	27.4	27.4	80.3
Somewhat oppose	35	6.9	6.9	87.2
Strongly oppose	38	7.4	7.4	94.7
Not sure	27	5.3	5.3	100.0
Total	511	100.0	100.0	

6. Finally, would you support or oppose a candidate in the next election who endorses most, if not all, of these concepts?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly support	159	31.0	31.0	31.0
Somewhat support	136	26.6	26.6	57.6
Somewhat oppose	65	12.8	12.8	70.4
Strongly oppose	84	16.4	16.4	86.7
Not sure	68	13.3	13.3	100.0
Total	511	100.0	100.0	

AgeGroup

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 18-29	92	17.9	18.0	18.0
30-49	168	32.9	33.0	51.0
50-64	153	29.9	30.0	81.0
65+	97	18.9	19.0	100.0
Total	509	99.6	100.0	
Missing .00	2	.4		
Total	511	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.3 Percentage Points

AgeGroup-B

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	48	9.3	9.3	9.3
	25-34	74	14.6	14.6	24.0
	35-54	184	36.1	36.2	60.2
	55-69	161	31.4	31.6	91.7
	70+	42	8.2	8.3	100.0
	Total	509	99.6	100.0	
Missing	.00	2	.4		
Total		511	100.0		

Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No College Degree	285	55.8	56.0	56.0
	College Degree+	224	43.8	44.0	100.0
	Total	509	99.6	100.0	
Missing	.00	2	.4		
Total		511	100.0		

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White	406	79.4	80.0	80.0
	Hisp	15	3.0	3.0	83.0
	AfrAmer	71	13.9	14.0	97.0
	Asian	5	1.0	1.0	98.0
	Other	10	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	507	99.2	100.0	
Missing	.00	4	.8		
Total		511	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.3 Percentage Points

Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	259	50.7	50.8	50.8
	Single, never married	144	28.2	28.3	79.1
	Divorced/separated/widowed	86	16.9	16.9	96.0
	Civil union or domestic partnership	21	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	510	99.8	100.0	
Missing	0	1	.2		
Total		511	100.0		

Live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Large city (greater than 500,000 residents)	69	13.5	13.5	13.5
	Medium city (100,000-500,000 residents)	90	17.6	17.6	31.0
	Small city (less than 100,000 residents)	81	15.8	15.8	46.8
	Suburbs	166	32.5	32.5	79.4
	Rural area	106	20.6	20.6	100.0
	Total	511	100.0	100.0	

Ideology Recoded

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	130	25.5	26.2	26.2
	Moderate	170	33.3	34.1	60.3
	Conservative	196	38.4	39.4	99.6
	Populist	2	.3	.4	100.0
	Total	498	97.5	100.0	
Missing	.00	13	2.5		
Total		511	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.3 Percentage Points

Religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Catholic	104	20.4	20.4	20.4
	Protestant	226	44.3	44.3	64.6
	Jewish	8	1.6	1.6	66.2
	Other/None	173	33.8	33.8	100.0
	Total	511	100.0	100.0	

Born Again

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	118	23.1	52.2	52.2
	No/NS	108	21.1	47.8	100.0
	Total	226	44.3	100.0	
Missing	0	285	55.7		
Total		511	100.0		

Union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	68	13.4	13.5	13.5
	No	439	85.9	86.5	100.0
	Total	507	99.3	100.0	
Missing	0	4	.7		
Total		511	100.0		

Child<17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	153	30.0	30.1	30.1
	No	356	69.7	69.9	100.0
	Total	509	99.7	100.0	
Missing	0	2	.3		
Total		511	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.3 Percentage Points

Employment Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working full time	264	51.7	52.1	52.1
	Working part time	47	9.3	9.3	61.4
	Temporarily unemployed and looking for work	17	3.2	3.3	64.7
	Temporarily unemployed and not looking for work	10	1.9	1.9	66.5
	Student	20	4.0	4.0	70.6
	Stay at home parent/spouse	28	5.5	5.5	76.1
	Retired	121	23.7	23.9	100.0
	Total	508	99.4	100.0	
Missing	0	3	.6		
Total		511	100.0		

Veteran/Armed Forces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	104	20.4	20.4	20.4
	No	407	79.6	79.6	100.0
	Total	511	100.0	100.0	

Resident

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	My city or town	163	31.8	32.6	32.6
	America	245	47.9	49.0	81.6
	The planet Earth	92	18.0	18.4	100.0
	Total	500	97.8	100.0	
Missing	0	11	2.2		
Total		511	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Ohio
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.3 Percentage Points

Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$25,000	60	11.7	12.4	12.4
	\$25001 - 35000	63	12.4	13.1	25.5
	\$35001 - 50000	60	11.8	12.5	38.0
	\$50001 - 75000	87	17.1	18.1	56.1
	\$75001 - 100000	72	14.1	14.9	71.0
	\$100001 - 150000	113	22.1	23.4	94.4
	Greater than \$150000	27	5.3	5.6	100.0
	Total	482	94.3	100.0	
Missing	0	29	5.7		
Total		511	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	240	47.0	47.0	47.0
	Female	271	53.0	53.0	100.0
	Total	511	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative