

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Michigan
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

State

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Michigan	507	100.0	100.0	100.0

2002. How likely are you to vote in statewide elections?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	410	80.8	80.8	80.8
	Very likely	62	12.2	12.2	93.0
	Somewhat likely	36	7.0	7.0	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democratic	203	40.0	40.0	40.0
	Republican	157	31.0	31.0	71.0
	Independent	147	29.0	29.0	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

1. The plan is designed to stop illegal immigration by adding 400 miles of fencing and other security enhancements, and improve screening to stop the smuggling of human beings and drugs through legal ports of entry along the southern border. Do you support or oppose these proposals to improve security along the border?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	156	30.8	30.8	30.8
	Somewhat support	121	23.8	23.8	54.6
	Somewhat oppose	103	20.4	20.4	74.9
	Strongly oppose	108	21.4	21.4	96.3
	Not sure	19	3.7	3.7	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Michigan
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

2. The President says his plan will restore the integrity of our broken asylum system by preventing migrants from making fraudulent claims and being released into the country. He says those with legitimate claims will quickly be admitted; if they're not, they will promptly be returned home. Do you support or oppose this part of the plan?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	168	33.1	33.1	33.1
	Somewhat support	138	27.2	27.2	60.3
	Somewhat oppose	70	13.9	13.9	74.1
	Strongly oppose	96	18.9	18.9	93.0
	Not sure	35	7.0	7.0	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

3. Many new immigrants make low-wages and have low-skills and this strains our safety net and generous welfare programs. To prevent that, the president's plan gives priority to higher-wage workers who are self-sufficient. Do you support or oppose this part of the plan?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	124	24.6	24.6	24.6
	Somewhat support	153	30.2	30.2	54.7
	Somewhat oppose	83	16.4	16.4	71.1
	Strongly oppose	105	20.7	20.7	91.8
	Not sure	41	8.2	8.2	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

4. Currently, about 66 percent of immigrants are admitted to the United States based on having relatives, including extended family members, in this country. Only about 12 percent are admitted based on their job skills and education. Do you support or oppose the recommendation that we give greater preference to immigrants with needed skills, and limit family-based immigration to spouses and minor children?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	125	24.6	24.6	24.6
	Somewhat support	126	24.9	24.9	49.5
	Somewhat oppose	120	23.7	23.7	73.2
	Strongly oppose	84	16.6	16.6	89.8
	Not sure	52	10.2	10.2	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Michigan
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

5. What also may be in the immigration plan is a requirement that all U.S. employers use E-Verify to make sure that all new employees are lawfully present in the U.S. and legally eligible to work. Do you support or oppose this requirement?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	249	49.0	49.0	49.0
	Somewhat support	142	27.9	27.9	77.0
	Somewhat oppose	47	9.3	9.3	86.3
	Strongly oppose	36	7.0	7.0	93.3
	Not sure	34	6.7	6.7	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

6. Finally, would you support or oppose a candidate in the next election who endorses most, if not all, of these concepts?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	142	28.0	28.0	28.0
	Somewhat support	137	27.0	27.0	55.0
	Somewhat oppose	60	11.8	11.8	66.7
	Strongly oppose	95	18.7	18.7	85.4
	Not sure	74	14.6	14.6	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

AgeGroup

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	105	20.8	21.0	21.0
	30-49	151	29.7	30.0	51.0
	50-64	151	29.7	30.0	81.0
	65+	95	18.8	19.0	100.0
	Total	502	99.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	5	1.0		
Total		507	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Michigan
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

AgeGroup-B

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	50	9.9	10.0	10.0
	25-34	98	19.3	19.5	29.6
	35-54	151	29.7	30.0	59.6
	55-69	160	31.5	31.8	91.4
	70+	43	8.5	8.6	100.0
	Total	502	99.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	5	1.0		
Total		507	100.0		

Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No College Degree	294	58.0	58.0	58.0
	College Degree+	213	42.0	42.0	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White	379	74.7	75.0	75.0
	Hispanic	25	5.0	5.0	80.0
	African American	76	14.9	15.0	95.0
	Asian	10	2.0	2.0	97.0
	Other	15	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	505	99.6	100.0	
Missing	.00	2	.4		
Total		507	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Michigan
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	273	53.8	54.0	54.0
	Single, never married	154	30.4	30.5	84.6
	Divorced/separated/widowed	62	12.1	12.2	96.8
	Civil union or domestic partnership	16	3.2	3.2	100.0
	Total	505	99.5	100.0	
Missing	0	2	.5		
Total		507	100.0		

Live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Large city (greater than 500,000 residents)	57	11.2	11.2	11.2
	Medium city (100,000-500,000 residents)	93	18.3	18.3	29.5
	Small city (less than 100,000 residents)	93	18.2	18.3	47.8
	Suburbs	142	28.1	28.1	75.9
	Rural area	122	24.0	24.1	100.0
	Total	506	99.8	100.0	
Missing	0	1	.2		
Total		507	100.0		

Ideology Recoded

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	134	26.4	27.0	27.0
	Moderate	173	34.1	34.8	61.8
	Conservative	189	37.3	38.2	100.0
	Total	496	97.9	100.0	
Missing	.00	11	2.1		
Total		507	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Michigan
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Catholic	113	22.3	22.3	22.3
	Protestant	187	37.0	37.0	59.2
	Jewish	7	1.4	1.4	60.6
	Other/None	200	39.4	39.4	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

Born Again

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	97	19.1	51.8	51.8
	No/NS	90	17.8	48.2	100.0
	Total	187	37.0	100.0	
Missing	0	320	63.0		
Total		507	100.0		

Union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	90	17.8	17.9	17.9
	No	416	82.0	82.1	100.0
	Total	506	99.8	100.0	
Missing	0	1	.2		
Total		507	100.0		

Child<17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	130	25.6	25.6	25.6
	No	376	74.1	74.4	100.0
	Total	506	99.7	100.0	
Missing	0	1	.3		
Total		507	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Michigan
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Employment Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working full time	239	47.2	47.2	47.2
	Working part time	66	13.0	13.0	60.2
	Temporarily unemployed and looking for work	17	3.4	3.4	63.6
	Temporarily unemployed and not looking for work	9	1.7	1.7	65.3
	Student	24	4.8	4.8	70.1
	Stay at home parent/spouse	35	6.8	6.8	77.0
	Retired	117	23.0	23.0	100.0
	Total	506	99.9	100.0	
Missing	0	1	.1		
Total		507	100.0		

Veteran/Armed Forces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	81	16.0	16.0	16.0
	No	426	84.0	84.0	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

Resident

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	My city or town	170	33.6	34.1	34.1
	America	224	44.1	44.8	78.8
	The planet Earth	106	20.9	21.2	100.0
	Total	500	98.6	100.0	
Missing	0	7	1.4		
Total		507	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Michigan
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$25,000	82	16.2	17.1	17.1
	\$25001 - 35000	62	12.1	12.8	29.8
	\$35001 - 50000	73	14.4	15.2	45.0
	\$50001 - 75000	107	21.0	22.1	67.1
	\$75001 - 100000	62	12.2	12.9	80.0
	\$100001 - 150000	73	14.5	15.2	95.2
	Greater than \$150000	23	4.5	4.8	100.0
	Total	482	95.1	100.0	
Missing	0	25	4.9		
Total		507	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	243	48.0	48.0	48.0
	Female	264	52.0	52.0	100.0
	Total	507	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative