

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

State

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Arizona	500	100.0	100.0	100.0

2002. How likely are you to vote in statewide elections?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	415	82.9	82.9	82.9
	Very likely	65	12.9	12.9	95.8
	Somewhat likely	21	4.2	4.2	100.0
	Total	500	100.0	100.0	

Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democratic	140	28.0	28.0	28.0
	Republican	160	32.0	32.0	60.0
	Independent	200	40.0	40.0	100.0
	Total	500	100.0	100.0	

1. The plan is designed to stop illegal immigration by adding 400 miles of fencing and other security enhancements, and improve screening to stop the smuggling of human beings and drugs through legal ports of entry along the southern border. Do you support or oppose these proposals to improve security along the border?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	200	40.0	40.0	40.0
	Somewhat support	115	23.1	23.1	63.1
	Somewhat oppose	52	10.5	10.5	73.6
	Strongly oppose	120	24.0	24.0	97.6
	Not sure	12	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	500	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

2. The President says his plan will restore the integrity of our broken asylum system by preventing migrants from making fraudulent claims and being released into the country. He says those with legitimate claims will quickly be admitted; if they're not, they will promptly be returned home. Do you support or oppose this part of the plan?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	223	44.6	44.6	44.6
	Somewhat support	115	23.0	23.0	67.6
	Somewhat oppose	53	10.6	10.6	78.2
	Strongly oppose	89	17.8	17.8	96.0
	Not sure	20	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	500	100.0	100.0	

3. Many new immigrants make low-wages and have low-skills and this strains our safety net and generous welfare programs. To prevent that, the president's plan gives priority to higher-wage workers who are self-sufficient. Do you support or oppose this part of the plan?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	156	31.2	31.2	31.2
	Somewhat support	125	25.1	25.1	56.3
	Somewhat oppose	71	14.3	14.3	70.5
	Strongly oppose	101	20.2	20.2	90.7
	Not sure	47	9.3	9.3	100.0
	Total	500	100.0	100.0	

4. Currently, about 66 percent of immigrants are admitted to the United States based on having relatives, including extended family members, in this country. Only about 12 percent are admitted based on their job skills and education. Do you support or oppose the recommendation that we give greater preference to immigrants with needed skills, and limit family-based immigration to spouses and minor children?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	146	29.2	29.2	29.2
	Somewhat support	125	25.0	25.0	54.2
	Somewhat oppose	79	15.9	15.9	70.0
	Strongly oppose	95	19.0	19.0	89.0
	Not sure	55	11.0	11.0	100.0
	Total	500	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

5. What also may be in the immigration plan is a requirement that all U.S. employers use E-Verify to make sure that all new employees are lawfully present in the U.S. and legally eligible to work. Do you support or oppose this requirement?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	309	61.8	61.8	61.8
	Somewhat support	100	20.1	20.1	81.9
	Somewhat oppose	39	7.8	7.8	89.6
	Strongly oppose	30	6.0	6.0	95.6
	Not sure	22	4.4	4.4	100.0
	Total	500	100.0	100.0	

6. Finally, would you support or oppose a candidate in the next election who endorses most, if not all, of these concepts?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	188	37.6	37.6	37.6
	Somewhat support	123	24.6	24.6	62.2
	Somewhat oppose	61	12.2	12.2	74.3
	Strongly oppose	84	16.8	16.8	91.1
	Not sure	44	8.9	8.9	100.0
	Total	500	100.0	100.0	

AgeGroup

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	69	13.9	14.0	14.0
	30-49	168	33.7	34.0	48.0
	50-64	139	27.7	28.0	76.0
	65+	119	23.8	24.0	100.0
	Total	495	99.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	5	1.0		
Total		500	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

AgeGroup-B

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	27	5.3	5.4	5.4
	25-34	82	16.4	16.6	22.0
	35-54	174	34.9	35.2	57.2
	55-69	143	28.6	28.9	86.1
	70+	69	13.8	13.9	100.0
	Total	495	99.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	5	1.0		
Total		500	100.0		

Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No College Degree	245	48.9	49.0	49.0
	College Degree+	254	50.9	51.0	100.0
	Total	499	99.8	100.0	
Missing	.00	1	.2		
Total		500	100.0		

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White	374	74.7	75.0	75.0
	Hisp	75	14.9	15.0	90.0
	AfrAmer	15	3.0	3.0	93.0
	Asian	10	2.0	2.0	95.0
	Other	25	5.0	5.0	100.0
	Total	498	99.6	100.0	
Missing	.00	2	.4		
Total		500	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	276	55.2	55.4	55.4
	Single, never married	102	20.4	20.5	75.9
	Divorced/separated/widowed	91	18.2	18.3	94.2
	Civil union or domestic partnership	29	5.8	5.8	100.0
	Total	498	99.6	100.0	
Missing	0	2	.4		
Total		500	100.0		

Live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Large city (greater than 500,000 residents)	220	44.0	44.2	44.2
	Medium city (100,000-500,000 residents)	101	20.3	20.4	64.5
	Small city (less than 100,000 residents)	42	8.3	8.4	72.9
	Suburbs	100	19.9	20.0	92.9
	Rural area	35	7.0	7.1	100.0
	Total	498	99.6	100.0	
Missing	0	2	.4		
Total		500	100.0		

Ideology Recoded

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	116	23.3	24.1	24.1
	Moderate	192	38.4	39.7	63.8
	Conservative	175	35.1	36.2	100.0
	Total	484	96.8	100.0	
Missing	.00	16	3.2		
Total		500	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Catholic	106	21.2	21.2	21.2
	Protestant	163	32.6	32.6	53.8
	Jewish	12	2.4	2.4	56.2
	Other/None	219	43.8	43.8	100.0
	Total	500	100.0	100.0	

Born Again

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	63	12.6	38.5	38.5
	No/NS	100	20.1	61.5	100.0
	Total	163	32.6	100.0	
Missing	0	337	67.4		
Total		500	100.0		

Union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	32	6.5	6.5	6.5
	No	467	93.3	93.5	100.0
	Total	499	99.8	100.0	
Missing	0	1	.2		
Total		500	100.0		

Child<17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	128	25.5	25.6	25.6
	No	371	74.3	74.4	100.0
	Total	499	99.8	100.0	
Missing	0	1	.2		
Total		500	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Employment Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working full time	233	46.5	46.7	46.7
	Working part time	56	11.3	11.3	58.0
	Temporarily unemployed and looking for work	8	1.6	1.6	59.6
	Temporarily unemployed and not looking for work	9	1.8	1.8	61.5
	Student	17	3.4	3.4	64.8
	Stay at home parent/spouse	34	6.9	6.9	71.8
	Retired	141	28.2	28.2	100.0
	Total	498	99.7	100.0	
Missing	0	2	.3		
Total		500	100.0		

Veteran/Armed Forces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	133	26.5	26.5	26.5
	No	367	73.5	73.5	100.0
	Total	500	100.0	100.0	

Resident

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	My city or town	144	28.8	29.1	29.1
	America	240	47.9	48.4	77.5
	The planet Earth	111	22.2	22.5	100.0
	Total	495	99.0	100.0	
Missing	0	5	1.0		
Total		500	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Arizona
5/23/19 - 5/29/19 MOE +/- 4.4 Percentage Points

Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$25,000	61	12.2	12.8	12.8
	\$25001 - 35000	40	7.9	8.4	21.2
	\$35001 - 50000	70	14.1	14.8	36.0
	\$50001 - 75000	100	19.9	21.0	57.0
	\$75001 - 100000	57	11.4	12.0	69.0
	\$100001 - 150000	106	21.2	22.3	91.3
	Greater than \$150000	41	8.3	8.7	100.0
	Total	475	95.0	100.0	
Missing	0	25	5.0		
Total		500	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	245	49.0	49.0	49.0
	Female	255	51.0	51.0	100.0
	Total	500	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative