




FAIR

IMMIGRATION LOBBYING

A Window Into the World of Special Interests

A REPORT BY ERIC RUARK, SENIOR RESEARCHER



FAIR FEDERATION FOR AMERICAN IMMIGRATION REFORM

Immigration Lobbying: A Window into the World of Special Interests

Immigration policy consistently ranks near the top of the list of issues that concern Americans. Polls show that most Americans do not believe that our nation's immigration policies serve the public interest and believe that these policies need to be overhauled, and that laws against illegal immigration need to be enforced.

Given the intense public concern about immigration policy, it is not surprising that the issue has been the subject of numerous high profile pieces of congressional legislation in recent years. And, where there is congressional legislation, there are inevitably lobbyists trying to shape the content and influence the outcome. What is eye-opening is the scope of interest groups that have been involved in lobbying Congress on immigration policy, how much money these interest groups spend on lobbying in Washington, and how little of it is directed toward promoting the public interest.

According to lobbying reports that are required to be filed with the House Office of the Clerk and the Senate Office of Public Records, 521 corporations, trade associations, business groups, labor organizations, government entities, and nonprofit organizations engaged in lobbying on one or more pieces of the immigration-related legislation included in this report.¹ Only 2 percent of these organizations are known to have promoted positions in favor of enforcement of existing immigration laws, limiting the influx of foreign guest workers, and reducing overall levels of immigration.

This small group working to advance the public interest in the immigration debate included the Federation for American Immigration Reform (FAIR). The remaining 98 percent of the interest groups had a direct financial or political interest in relaxing immigration enforcement, and/or expansion of existing immigration quotas — positions that are widely rejected by the public.² Collectively, these organizations and associations reported spending \$345 million dollars lobbying Congress during this time period. While it is impossible to determine how much of that considerable sum was spent lobbying on specific pieces of immigration legislation, virtually all of the lobbying money expended by these groups is directed at gaining some benefit for themselves.

In preparing this report, FAIR reviewed the reports of every organization and association that reported lobbying on three major immigration bills. A complete list of these companies, associations, and nonprofit organizations appear in the Appendices. The report also categorizes those who lobbied on immigration legislation according to their economic or political interests. In some cases these interest groups have publicly stated their interests in immigration policy, and these statements of objective are cited. In other instances their interests can be identified based on the benefit that they would derive from specific changes in immigration policy. Most importantly, the results of this study show the lopsided disparity between how many interest groups have engaged in lobbying for mass immigration and amnesty for illegal aliens, versus those

lobbying for limiting immigration and better enforcement of immigration laws. This disparity speaks volumes about why so little is being done in Congress to promote immigration reforms that are sought by the majority of Americans.

If Americans are disheartened and disillusioned by what they see going on in Washington, the intense lobbying that has taken place over immigration policy provides a case study for how powerful special interests with deep pockets are able to run roughshod over the interests and concerns of ordinary Americans. If the past is prologue, then we can expect to see another well-financed effort by these groups to promote amnesty, guest worker programs, and higher levels of immigration when a new Congress and a new administration take office in 2009.

These interest groups — some of whom played key roles in creating the nation’s current economic crisis — are likely to renew their lobbying efforts against a backdrop of a severe recession, rising unemployment, and record government deficits. As the legislative battle over immigration policy resumes in 2009, and these same interest groups again pour considerable amounts of lobbying dollars into the effort, it is vital that their interests and objectives be closely scrutinized.

RECENT CONGRESSIONAL ACTION

In recent years, Congress has attempted to tackle the issue of immigration reform, but with little result. One of the primary reasons is that certain popular reforms, such as expanding the use of E-Verify or increasing resources for interior enforcement and border security, are constantly saddled with unpopular special interest provisions, such as amnesty for illegal aliens or large

new guest worker programs. This strategy of attaching special interest language to core legislation that would likely pass has been commonly employed by amnesty proponents, businesses, trade associations, and other groups that would benefit from the passage of such provisions.

For example, in both 2006 and 2007, the United States Senate debated what was termed “comprehensive” immigration reform legislation. The “comprehensive” immigration reform bills debated in 2006 and 2007 (S.2611, S.1348, and S.1639) coupled promises of better enforcement of immigration laws with tangible benefits and rewards for illegal aliens and business interests that employ low-wage immigrant labor. These so-called comprehensive bills had several common components, which if passed would have:

- Granted amnesty to the estimated 13 million illegal aliens within the U.S.;
- Created new unskilled guest worker programs, allowing hundreds of thousands of new aliens to enter the U.S. labor market each year;
- Eased the path for foreign students to enter American universities and the U.S. labor market after graduation without regard to labor market needs;
- Dramatically increased the number of H-1B guest workers;
- Created a special amnesty program for agricultural workers;
- Allowed states to grant in-state tuition to illegal aliens;
- Required employers to electronically verify the employment eligibility of their workers.

Though laws barring employers from hiring illegal aliens have been on the books since 1986, they have

been undermined by the lack of a universal system to reliably verify if a worker is legally eligible to hold a job in the U.S. This gaping loophole in the law has worked to the benefit of employers who hire illegal workers because they generally work more cheaply and under more adverse conditions. Thus, in addition to the “comprehensive” bills that attracted the attention and lobbying dollars of those trying to influence immigration policy, these groups have also focused on legislation that was narrower in scope.

In 2008, another major immigration bill — H.R. 5515 — was proposed that more specifically addressed the issue of work authorization. Introduced by Congressman Sam Johnson (R-Tex.), H.R. 5515 would have eliminated the Department of Homeland Security’s (DHS’s) E-Verify employment eligibility verification system and replaced it with a system based on the National Directory of New Hires.

The National Directory was established pursuant to the 1996 Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act in an effort to improve child support collection efforts. However, it was not designed to support employment eligibility verification and would have to be significantly overhauled to do so. It would take years to carry out the necessary revisions to the National Directory to make it an effective tool for employers to screen out ineligible workers, again delaying implementation of such a system.

E-Verify is a highly effective system that allows employers to check a worker’s Social Security number against information stored in the Social Security Administration’s database. The program has been in place, on a voluntary basis, for more than a decade and

is used by some 92,000 employers nationwide with a 99.5 percent accuracy rate. H.R. 5515 would have dispensed with E-Verify in favor of a vastly inferior system, and would have also provided employers with an alternative verification option of using private-sector, “government-certified enrollment providers” to authenticate the identity of new employees through “background screening techniques” using publicly available information.

Finally H.R. 5515 would have eliminated the progress made at the state level by expressly preempting all state and local employment eligibility verification laws, such as those in Arizona, Oklahoma, and Missouri, that require some or all employers to use E-Verify. H.R. 5515 also would have repealed all pilot programs established in 1996 for employment eligibility verification, including E-Verify.³ According to the Department of Homeland Security, this was an effort to substitute a system “doomed to fail” for one already proven effective.⁴

While Congress considered other significant immigration bills, for the purpose of providing a manageable data set, the bills included in this study were limited to just one bill for each of the past three years that demonstrated significant lobbying activity. We chose to study the mid-year reports filed for each bill as the most accurate method of comparison.⁵ With respect to the Bush-Kennedy amnesty bill debated in the first half of 2007, we combined the organizations that reported lobbying for S.1348 and S.1639, as the latter bill represented a continuation of the same legislative debate and essentially was an amendment of S.1348.

THE IMMIGRATION LOBBY

The lobbying reports on these three bills are evidence of the massive amounts of financial resources and effort that were expended on behalf of influencing immigration legislation during the past three years. Almost all of it was in support of increasing the number of foreign workers and granting amnesty to illegal aliens. Not surprisingly, the main lobbying interests in favor of comprehensive immigration reform and against E-Verify are large transnational corporations, and business and trade associations, with a notable presence from the technology and financial sectors.

In analyzing the lobbying data it is important to note two things. First, the current reporting requirements do not mandate that an organization specifically list the amount of money spent lobbying on a particular bill, and therefore the amount of dollars spent on a single piece of legislation is difficult to determine.⁶ For example, for the first half of 2008 the U.S. Chamber of Commerce reported spending \$17.7 million lobbying, and expressly reported lobbying on H.R. 5515. However, how much the U.S. Chamber spent on this specific bill is not detailed, and what it argued in its lobbying efforts will not be revealed through the lobbying reports. What we do know through its public statements is that the U.S. Chamber has expressed its firm opposition to E-Verify and pledged its resources to “push for comprehensive immigration reform” and a “pathway to legitimation for undocumented workers.”⁷ It has also advocated increasing visas to allow more skilled and unskilled workers to come to the U.S.

The second important caveat is that the list of lobbyists on the attached tables represents only those who specifically reported lobbying on S. 2611, S.

1348/1639, and H.R. 5515. As the government does not require lobbying organizations to list their activities by bill, an organization may simply report their lobbying activity by issue. Thus, the lobbying tables below only include the organizations and businesses that chose to specifically list individual bills for which they lobbied. Even so, given the number and variety of major organizations and businesses included on these tables, this list gives the public a meaningful representation of the immigration lobby.

THE BUNDLING OF INTERESTS

The Byzantine nature of political lobbying makes it difficult to determine the true amount of money spent, and to keep track of who is behind the various lobbying groups. Some, like the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and the National Association of Manufacturers (NAM), do not disclose their affiliated members. A survey of lobbying groups that do list their members reveals that many companies belong to a variety of interest groups that lobby on immigration legislation. For example, Business Roundtable, the New England Council, and CompeteAmerica (of which NAM, Business Roundtable, and U.S. Chamber are members) represent dozens of large corporate and financial institutions, and divert money and lobbying efforts into various channels. This “bundling” of interests and pooling of money for lobbying purposes is common practice, and it means that some voices have disproportionately more influence, effectively silencing the voice of the American voters.⁸

Despite the murky and convoluted world of political lobbying, it is clear that many millions of dollars and considerable efforts have been put forth to promote an “open market” philosophy that translates politically

into open borders, amnesty for illegal aliens, and the importation of hundreds of thousands of new guest workers. Adding to the efforts of business interest groups, individual companies like Altria (Philip Morris, SABMiller), General Electric, Time Warner, Microsoft, ExxonMobil, Disney, and Wal-Mart have lobbied on their own behalf to undermine and create loopholes in our immigration laws. “American” companies such as Anheuser-Busch and John Deere have pushed for immigration policies that use immigrants to drive down the wages and conditions of American workers. And prior to the meltdown of the mortgage market and the collapse of leading financial institu-

tions which precipitated the current massive government bailout, financial giants such as Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, AIG, Lehman Brothers, Goldman Sachs, and Countrywide Mortgage used trade associations to lobby on these immigration bills.

WHO IS LOBBYING?

Major segments of the U.S. economy are represented in the lobbying tables below. By far, the largest interest grouping can fit under the general category of **BUSINESS**. This category is made up of groups representing individual companies, chambers of com-

LOBBYING ACTIVITY BY SPECIFIC BILL

The figures below represent the percentage of lobbying activity on each bill by interest group. The number in parentheses next to the bill title is the total number of clients registered to lobby on that bill.

S 2611 (111) 2006		S 1348/1639 (183) 2007		HR 5515 (227) 2008	
1	Advocacy 18.9%	1	Misc. Business 16.3%	1	Advocacy 20.3%
2	Misc. Business* 15.3%	2	Advocacy 14.6%	2	Agriculture 14.1%
2	Technology 15.3%	3	Education 13.5%	2	Technology 14.1%
4	Hospitality 11.7%	4	Technology 12.4%	4	Hospitality 11.0%
4	Education 10.8%	5	Labor 9.7%	5	Education 10.1%
6	Labor 7.2%	6	Hospitality 8.1%	6	Misc. Business 9.2%
7	Agribusiness 6.3%	6	Agriculture 8.1%	7	Financial 6.2%
8	Financial 5.4%	8	Financial 7.6%	7	Construction 6.2%
9	Construction 4.5%	9	Construction 6.5%	9	Labor 5.7%
10	Medical 3.6%	10	Medical 2.7%	10	Medical 1.8%
11	Government 1.0%	11	Government 0.5%	11	Government 1.3%
▼ PRO-ENFORCEMENT ▼					
1.8%		2.2%		2.2%	

* Business interests that do not fit easily into a specific category. Mostly comprised of conglomerates such as Time Warner, Miller Brewing Co., Walmart.

merce, and business/retail/trade associations. This group accounts for 59.4 percent of the total number of groups lobbying on these bills. In order to more closely examine the different business interests represented in the tables, this category may be further broken down into the following subgroups listed in order of their proportional representation in the tables.

OVERALL LOBBYING BY GROUP

Combined lobbying activity on all three bills.

1	Advocacy	17.9%
2	Technology	13.9%
3	Misc. Business	13.6%
4	Education	11.5%
5	Hospitality	10.3%
6	Agriculture	9.5%
7	Labor	7.5%
8	Financial	6.4%
9	Construction	5.7%
10	Medical	2.7%
11	Government	1.0%
	Pro-Enforcement	2.1%

Technology

The largest subgroup includes companies such as Google, Microsoft, IBM, Verizon, Qualcomm, Oracle, and Motorola, and organizations like the American Electronic Association, and National Electrical Manufacturers Association. Their primary concern is the expansion of the H1-B visa program to bring in more foreign workers. In general, these tech companies argue that foreign workers are a critical, but only

temporary, solution until American workers can be better educated and trained. There is strong evidence that refutes their claim that America is lacking in skilled workers, and studies show that guest workers displace more experienced and thus more expensive American workers, and drive down overall wages in the tech sector. If they ever do return to their home countries, guest workers often take American jobs with them.⁹ Most disturbing is a recent U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services review of H-1B visa petitions that found a violation rate of 21 percent with 13 percent of these petitions classified as fraudulent.¹⁰

Hospitality

This subgroup represents the service and entertainment industry and includes hotels, resorts, restaurants, casinos, the horse racing industry, and, of note, the Major League Baseball Players Association. Generally the members of this group are in favor of expanded guest worker programs that bring in foreign workers when “no U.S. workers can be found.” In addition to increasing the number of H-2B visas, the American Hotel and Lodging Association argues for amnesty for the 12 million illegal aliens it admits are already working in the U.S.¹¹ The National Restaurant Association (NRA) supports comprehensive immigration reform, staunchly opposes the implementation of E-Verify, and criticizes efforts by state and local governments to ensure that businesses are not violating labor laws.¹² The NRA opposes the efforts of DHS to ensure that workers in the restaurant industry are in the country legally and not committing identity fraud, and argues that the way to fix the broken immigration system is amnesty rather than targeting employers who are hiring illegal workers.¹³

Agribusiness


This sector encompasses a broad range of interests, from growers and producers, to manufacturers and distributors of food products like the American Farm Bureau (AFB), Tyson Foods, and the International Food Distributors Association. As recent ICE raids have revealed, illegal aliens are heavily represented in this segment of the U.S. economy. The results are low wages, poor working conditions, and fewer jobs available for Americans; though, some agribusiness groups maintain that this environment exists because American workers are unwilling to do the hard work necessary to work in this sector.¹⁴ Agribusiness was heavily represented in lobbying for H.R. 5515, and demonstrated a resolute antagonism to the implementation of E-Verify.¹⁵ A substantial expansion of the H-2A (agricultural guest worker) visa program, with a corresponding decrease in federal oversight, is a top priority of this group. For the up to 700,000 foreign workers that AFB estimates are needed annually for agricultural work, it does not believe that

these workers should be paid at a wage established under the Adverse Effect Wage Rate (AEWR), which prevents employer from using the H-2A program to drive down overall wages for agricultural workers.¹⁶


Financial

In this designation are companies primarily devoted to providing financial services, or firms and interest groups lobbying on their behalf. Included are Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, AIG, Lehman Brothers, Countrywide Mortgage, Goldman Sachs, Principal Financial Group, JP Morgan Chase, Bank of America, Wachovia, Legg Mason, and Merrill Lynch, among many others. Some of these companies are being bailed out by the American taxpayer after years lobbying for amnesty and the importation of millions of foreign workers; and some that, in one way or another, have looked at the 13

TOTAL LOBBYING ACTIVITY BY INTEREST



BREAKDOWN OF BUSINESS BY LOBBY



million illegal aliens as an untapped market for their services, whether it be loans, banking, or money transfers overseas. The reason given by this group for its support for comprehensive immigration reform is the generic rationale that it is urgently needed in order to keep American businesses competitive in a global economy.

There is no truth to this assertion, and the tragic irony is that American taxpayers are footing the \$700 billion (and growing) bill to bail out companies who hope to bring millions more foreign workers into the U.S. It is interesting to note that while some of the companies in this group were on the verge of financial ruin, the overall money spent on lobbying from by the financial sector went up by almost \$7 million dollars from mid-year 2007 to mid-year 2008 to a total of \$51,639,837. While the economic downturn has hit the American worker hard, the Business Roundtable, which advocates on behalf of most of the companies listed above, believes that what America needs to solve the financial downturn is to bring in upwards of 250,000 foreign H-1B workers every year.¹⁷

Construction

This subgroup includes businesses and manufacturers primarily involved in the construction trade, or

landscapers, realtors, and relevant interest groups that are tied to the construction industry. When most people think of illegal alien workers, they conjure up images of people toiling in the fields. In fact, the construction industry, which used to rely on American workers earning solid middle class wages, has become the second largest employer of illegal aliens.¹⁸ Among the businesses and trade groups that have lobbied on immigration legislation are Weyerhaeuser, a producer of building materials, the National Association of Home Builders (NAHB), with its corresponding political action committee (BUILD-PAC), and the National Association of Realtors (NAR), whose members' profits are driven in part by new construction.

According to one group, the millions of illegal aliens doing construction work are performing jobs "most American would find undesirable" and so the government should get rid of "tedious" visa restrictions on

foreign workers.¹⁹ NAR as recently as June 17, 2008 linked a news story on its website proclaiming “Illegal Immigrants Are Good Lending Risks” and in another posting tells its members that mass immigration is the key to “a long-term strong housing market,” and that agents should help guide immigrants “through the thickets of [financial] irregularity.”²⁰ In addition to providing a source of low wage labor, the construction and real estate industries benefit from the rapid population growth engendered by high levels of immigration, and potentially from an illegal alien amnesty. A rapidly growing population creates a demand for more construction, which obviously benefits builders and realtors.

ADVOCACY GROUPS

Groups under this designation are entities defined as non-profit organizations advocating a particular position on immigration legislation. Advocacy groups represent the largest non-business related group at 18 percent of the total lobbying activity on the three selected bills. Most of these groups are proponents of amnesty and opponents of E-Verify, and some take positions on immigration that stand well outside the mainstream of American public opinion. Also included here are groups like FAIR, NumbersUSA, and the American Legion, which are well-known for their advocacy for the enforcement of immigration law, but groups on this side of the immigration issue comprise just 12 percent of advocacy groups and a mere 2 percent of the total number.

The vast majority of advocacy groups found in these lobbying tables support amnesty and oppose virtually all enforcement efforts, and advocate dramatic increases in overall immigration to the U.S. Included in

this category are a small number of religious groups, predominately Catholic, although there are a number of other religious organizations represented, such as the American Jewish Committee, the Jewish Federation of Metropolitan Chicago, the Anti-Defamation League, and the Friends Committee on National Legislation (Quakers). Other groups here are what can be described as “Ethnic Advocacy” groups. These include the National Council of La Raza, the Mexican-American Legal Defense & Education Fund (MALDEF), Federation of Korean Industries, Arab-American Anti-Discrimination Committee, and the National Hispanic Medical Association. The general message of these groups is that they are working for the betterment of all Americans, but in reality they are lobbying to influence legislation that benefits a particular ethnic minority and their agenda runs counter to the wishes of the majority of America citizens.

EDUCATION LOBBY

A surprising aspect of these lobbying reports that deserves special attention is the activity of groups that can be classified under the rubric of EDUCATION. These groups put forth a significant effort and were particularly active on the “comprehensive” immigration reform bills of 2007.²¹ One prominent organization is the Association of American Universities (AAU), representing 60 public and private major research universities, many of which have lucrative university-corporate “partnerships.” The AAU supports amnesty for illegal aliens under the guise of the DREAM Act, and proposes abolishing the requirement that foreign students who are studying in the U.S. under a J-1 visa return home after graduation, as that stipulation is indicative of outmoded “20th century ideas.”²² The AAU also opposes E-Verify as being

unduly biased against foreigners, and for not being the “Welcome to American message our institutions want to send.”²³

In addition to the AAU, 26 research universities lobbied independently on these bills, 16 being public institutions supported by taxpayer money. Also included in the education lobby are the National Education Association, the American Association of Community Colleges, National PTA, Center for International Education, American Council on Education, Educational Testing Services, and the American Association of Intensive English Programs.

LABOR

.....

Labor unions were heavily represented, largely under the umbrella of the AFL-CIO. Also active was the Service Employees International Union, with over two million members and claiming to represent the most immigrant workers of any union in the U.S.²⁴ While many union leaders publicly support amnesty for illegal aliens and overall increases in immigration, these views are not universally shared by the people they purport to represent. Within the AFL-CIO itself, there are differences in how the component unions view immigration. For the AFL-CIO affiliates listed below, however, their support for amnesty and mass immigration is unambiguous.

What is most interesting about the labor groups is that their stated positions on these bills correspond largely to the position taken by groups representing big business. The significant difference is the broad union opposition to guest workers, who drive down wages for American workers. However, the labor groups lobbying on these bills support amnesty, which would have

the same effect.²⁵ The argument made by the business lobby, that additional hundreds of thousands of new skilled and unskilled workers are needed each year, is easy to understand in terms of the supply and demand effects of a larger labor pool. More workers mean lower wages paid by employers. The reasons labor organizations support amnesty for illegal aliens and the expansion of legal immigration is not as clear cut. With declining membership and decreasing political influence, the logical inference is that immigrants provide a means to expand union power. A more systematic examination of the positions of various union affiliates on immigration, and how the views of the rank-and-file members correspond to those of union leaders, is much needed.

The position taken by the leadership of the AFL-CIO on illegal workers is indicative of its inconsistency on immigration matters. It is against increasing guest workers since they drive down wages for union workers, but it is in favor of granting amnesty to “all undocumented workers,” and has criticized efforts to improve border security, thereby ensuring a continuing influx of low-skilled, low-wage workers.²⁶ In order to make sure that employers are abiding by the law in hiring American workers and maintaining safe working conditions, the AFL-CIO urges the government to enforce sanctions against companies that hire illegal workers; yet it has staged protests against businesses that have voluntarily used E-Verify to ensure that they were hiring American workers, or that have complied with No-Match letters from the Social Security Administration.²⁷ In a letter to President Bush that it co-signed along with the National Council of La Raza, the AFL-CIO complained that ICE raids on employers who hire mostly illegal workers are harmful to businesses.²⁸ Moreover, without an effective system to

enforce employer sanctions, amnesty for current illegal aliens would not achieve the ends that AFL-CIO leaders claim to want. There would be little incentive for companies to bargain with newly empowered legalized workers without a system in place to prevent them from hiring a new crop of illegal aliens.

CONCLUSION

.
By examining the lobbying reports for three major immigration bills proposed during the last three years, one can quickly see that the landscape of immigration politics is dominated by special interests. Between businesses, ethnic and religious advocacy groups, universities, labor unions, and all of the associations that pool their resources, the overwhelming number of those lobbying on these immigration bills are arguing

in favor of amnesty, and for dramatically expanding guest worker programs and other forms of legal immigration. Many of these groups call for a more secure border and a “workable” employment verification system, but work to undermine both initiatives.

Public opinion is overwhelmingly in favor of securing the border and enforcing current immigration laws, which includes punishing employers who hire illegal workers. Looking at the forces arrayed to defeat such measures, and to lobby for amnesty and continuing mass immigration, it is easier to understand how public opinion has been thwarted, and how the democratic process has been subverted by special interests whose objectives may not be in the best interest of America.

ENDNOTES

-
- 1 The reports filed by lobbyists can be found online at <http://clerk.house.gov/> and http://www.senate.gov/legislative/Public_Disclosure/LDA_reports.htm.
 - 2 For comprehensive polling data see Federation for American Immigration Reform, "Public Opinion Polls On Immigration," http://www.fairus.org/site/PageServer?pagename=research_researchd74c.
 - 3 H.R. 5515 did not reach the floor of the House of Representatives, but enjoyed widespread support by major business organizations, including the powerful Society of Human Resource Management (http://www.shrm.org/hrnews_published/archives/CMS_026438.asp).
 - 4 Department of Homeland Security, *Leadership Journal*, July 11, 2008, <http://www.dhs.gov/journal/leadership/2008/07/ive-been-in-washington-while-and-i.html>
 - 5 Beginning in 2008, lobbyists were required to file quarterly reports of their lobbying activity instead of only mid-year and year-end reports. The first and second quarter reports of 2008 for H.R. 5515 correspond to the mid-year reports for the 2006 and 2007 bills, covering the months January through June. The mid-year reports were chosen because lobbying activity from July through December is not as intense, and the reports from the second half of 2008 were unavailable when this report was written.
 - 6 *Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995*, Public Law 104-65, 2 USC § 698:
 (2) (A) a list of the specific issues upon which a lobbyist employed by the registrant engaged in lobbying activities, including, to the maximum extent practicable, a list of bill numbers and references to specific executive branch actions;...
 (3) in the case of a lobbying firm, a good faith estimate of the total amount of all income from the client (including any payments to the registrant by any other person for lobbying activities on behalf of the client) during the semiannual period, other than income for matters that are unrelated to lobbying activities; and
 (4) in the case of a registrant engaged in lobbying activities on its own behalf, a good faith estimate of the total expenses that the registrant and its employees incurred in connection with lobbying activities during the semiannual filing period.
 - 7 U.S. Chamber of Commerce, "Immigration Issues," <http://www.uschamber.com/issues/index/immigration/default.htm>. This statement outlines the goals of the U.S. Chamber for 2008 and includes its support for the facilitation of the movement of people and goods across the U.S.-Mexico border, and the expansion of H-1B, H-2B, L-1, and EB visas.
 - 8 For a list of organizations supporting amnesty, including descriptions of some of the groups listed in this report, see Federation for American Immigration Reform, "Organizations Supporting Amnesty for Illegal Aliens," http://www.fairus.org/site/PageServer?pagename=iic_immigrationissuecentersa5ad.
 - 9 Federation For American Immigration Reform, "H-1B Visas: Harming American Workers," www.fairus.org/site/PageServer?pagename=iic_immigrationissuecenters13e8. Professor Norman Matloff at the University of California at Davis has written extensively on the negative effects of the H-1B visa program on American workers, and the myth that U.S. companies can not find qualified workers at home. A good synopsis of his position on this issue is, Patrick Thibodeau, "A computer science professor's never-ending H-1B fight: Norman Matloff's take on the continuing employment conflict," *ComputerWorld*, July 21, 2008, <http://www.computerworld.com/action/article.do?command=viewArticleBasic&articleId=9110379>. See also Matloff, "Globalization and the American IT Worker," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 47, no. 11 (November 2004): pp. 27-29 (available at <http://www.acmqueue.org/modules.php?name=Content&pa=showpage&pid=238>). George J. Borjas, a Professor of Economics and Social Policy at Harvard, has written much on the effects of large numbers of H-1B workers on the U.S. economy. His work is available at http://borjas.typepad.com/the_borjas_blog/2007/06/how_many.html. Former Federal Reserve chairman, Alan Greenspan, has explained the effect of the H-1B program. "Our skilled wages are higher than anywhere in the world. If we open up a significant window for skilled [foreign] workers, that would suppress the skilled-wage level and end the concentration of income." *Boston Globe*, March 14, 2007.
 - 10 U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, "H-1B Benefit Fraud and Compliance Assessment," (September 2008), <http://grassley.senate.gov/private/upload/100820081-3.pdf>.
 - 11 Hotel and Lodging Association, Press Release, Washington, D.C., September 11, 2006, <http://www.ahla.com/PressRoom.aspx?id=19188&terms=immigration>.

- 12 National Restaurant Association, "Public Policy Issue Briefs," <http://www.restaurant.org/government/issues/issue.cfm?Issue=immigration>.
- 13 Ibid, "National Restaurant Association Comments on DHS Proposed "No-Match" Letter Regulations," News Release, April 23, 2008, <http://www.restaurant.org/pressroom/ressrelease.cfm?ID=1583>; Electronic Memo, "RE: DHS Docket #ICEB-2006-0004," http://www.restaurant.org/government/comments/200804_nomatch_dhs.pdf.
- 14 National Council of Farmer Cooperatives, "Public Policy: Immigration Reform," http://www.ncfc.org/images/stories/pdfs/public_policy/immigration%20reform%20june%202008.pdf.
- 15 The position of the National Milk Producers Foundation (NMPF) is illuminating. It argues that it is the job of the Congress, not dairy farmers, to determine who is in the country illegally. However, NMPF opposes the efforts of Congress to do just that through the use of E-Verify. NMPF, "Immigration Reform Legislative Provisions," http://www.nmpf.org/washington_watch/immigration/provisions; <http://www.nmpf.org/files/file/NMPFEVerifyComment081108.pdf>.
- 16 American Farm Bureau, "Priority Issues: Labor and Immigration," <http://www.fb.org/issues/docs/immigration08.pdf>. For a detailed explanation of the AEWR see the Congressional Research Service Report For Congress, "Farm Labor: The Adverse Effect Wage Rate," September 26, 2008, <http://www.nationalaglawcenter.org/assets/crs/RL32861.pdf>.
- 17 Business Round Table, "CEO Economic Survey, Media Conference Call Transcript of Briefing by Harold McGraw III," <http://www.businessroundtable.org/newsroom/document.aspx?qs=5996BF807822B0F1ADC478E22FB51711FCF53CE> (cached).
- 18 The Pew Hispanic Center, "The Size and Characteristics of the Unauthorized Migrant Population in the U.S." <http://pewhispanic.org/files/reports/61.pdf>. The leading employer of illegal aliens is the Hospitality sector.
- 19 Associated Builders and Contractors, Inc., "ABC's Legislative Position Immigration Reform," <http://www.abc.org/files/Immigration%2006.08.doc>.
- 20 National Association of Realtors, "Illegal Aliens Are Good Lending Risks," <http://www.realtor.org/rmodaily.nsf/0/b09dc5457b64c93d8625746b00503332?OpenDocument>; "Who Are Today's Buyers? (Part 2)," http://www.realtor.org/toolkits/buy09?presentationtemplate=rmo-design/pt_articlepage_print&presentationtemplateid=c5e4510048652af9929396efd9084ea2.
- 21 On the lobbying tables for S. 2611 there were 12 education groups out of a total of 111. These 12 groups represented 10.8% of the lobbying activity on this bill. For S. 1348/S. 1639 there were 25 education groups out of 185 (13.5%). On H.R. 5515 there were 23 education groups out of 227 (10.1%).
- 22 Association of American Universities, "University Official Testifies on U.S. Visa Policy," <http://www.aau.edu/WorkArea/showcontent.aspx?id=6122&LangType=1033>; "AAU-NASULGC Letter Details Concerns about Senate Immigration Bill, S. 1348," http://www.aau.edu/policy/visa_immigration.aspx?id=7438.
- 23 Association of American Universities, "Immigration Issues in Higher Education," http://www.aau.edu/policy/visa_immigration.aspx?id=7438.
- 24 Service Industry International Union, "A Closer Look Inside Labor's Fastest-Growing Union," <http://www.seiu.org/a/ourunion/a-closer-look-inside-labors-fastest-growing-union.php>. The SEIU's organization principles are different than the AFL-CIO's (from which it disaffiliated itself in 2005) because it seeks to organize its workers across borders, not making distinctions for national boundaries (<http://www.seiu.org/a/ourunion/global-partnerships.php>). Its rhetoric, therefore, is more consistent in that it makes no claim to advocate on the behalf of American workers in particular.
- 25 For a more thorough discussion of this matter see Vernon M. Briggs, Jr., "American Unionism and U.S. Immigration Policy," August 2001, <http://www.cis.org/articles/2001/back1001.html>. Briggs, Emeritus Professor of Industrial and Labor Relations at Cornell University, has written extensively on labor unions and immigration. He finds a radical shift in the position of the AFL-CIO leadership in the 1990s away from a century-and-a-half of support for restrictionist policies on immigration to an embrace of mass immigration, amnesty for illegal aliens, and an end to sanctions for employers who knowingly hire illegal workers. This stance was formalized in February 2000 by the AFL-CIO's Executive Council. See also, Federation for American Immigration Reform, "Lower Wages for American Workers," http://www.fairus.org/site/PageServer?pagename=iic_immigrationissuecenters8f44.

- 26 U.S. House of Representatives, Committee on Education and Labor, "Testimony of Jonathan P. Hiatt," <http://edlabor.house.gov/testimony/060707JonathanHiattTestimony.pdf>.
- 27 No-Match letters from SSA advise an employer that there is no record in the SSA database that matches an employee's SSN and requests that employers take action to identify the problem. AFL-CIO, D.C. News Archives, "Carlyle Told to 'Stop Dunkin Workers' Rights," Thursday, April 24, 2008, <http://www.dclabor.org/ht/display/ArticleDetails/i/68724>; "Immigrant Rights Activists to Rally Thursday," Tuesday, April 29, 2008, <http://www.dclabor.org/ht/display/ArticleDetails/i/68890>; "Parties Targeted on Immigrant Rights," Thursday, May 1, 2008 <http://www.dclabor.org/ht/display/ArticleDetails/i/68898>.
- 28 The AFL-CIO also partnered with Change to Win, a coalition of seven national unions advocating a "path to citizenship" for illegal workers. The "Open Letter to President Bush, January 22, 2007, is available at www.changetowin.org/fileadmin/pdf/LWIW_letter_on_Swift_raids_FINAL.pdf.

APPENDICES

.....

IMMIGRATION LOBBYING

Clients Registered to Lobby on S. 2611, January to June 2006

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year* 2006
1	Accenture LLP	Accenture LLP, BKSJ & Associates	House, Senate	\$ 2,155,257
2	AFL-CIO	AFL-CIO	House, Senate	\$ 820,000
3	Altria Corporate Services Inc	Altria Corporate Services Inc	House, Senate	\$ 6,800,000
4	American Assn of Community Colleges	American Association of Community Colleges	House, Senate	\$ 30,000
5	American Assn of Intensive English Programs	Washington Partners LLC	House, Senate, DHS, DOS	n/a
6	American Council of Education	American Council of Education	Senate	\$ 80,000
7	American Electronics Assn	American Electronics Assn	House, Senate, DHS, DOL, DOS, USTR	\$ 1,000,000
8	American Federation of State, County & Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO	American Federation of State, County & Municipal Employees	House, Senate	\$ 19,000,000
9	American Horse Council	American Horse Council	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
10	American Hotel & Lodging Assn	American Hotel & Lodging Assn	House, Senate, EOP	\$ 120,000
11	American Immigration Lawyers Assn	American Immigration Lawyers Assn, Monument Policy Group	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ, SSA, DOS, EOP	\$ 20,000
12	American Jewish Committee	American Jewish Committee	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ, EOP	\$ 155,680
13	American Legion	American Legion	House, Senate	\$ 83,000
14	American Nursery & Landscape Assn	American Nursery & Landscape Assn	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
15	American Nurses Assn	American Nurses Assn	House, Senate	\$ 271,904
16	American Rental Assn	American Rental Assn	House, Senate	\$ 50,000
17	American Society of Travel Agents	American Society of Travel Agents	Senate	\$ 20,000
18	American Symphony Orchestra League	American Symphony Orchestra League	House, Senate, DHS, NEA, DOS	\$ 20,000
19	Americans for Tax Reform	Americans for Tax Reform	House, Senate	\$ 760,000
20	Americans for the Arts	Americans for the Arts	House, Senate	\$ 160,000
21	America's Voice/CCIR**	America's Voice/CCIR	House, Senate	\$ 220,000
22	Anheuser-Busch	Timmons & Co	House, Senate	\$ 190,000
23	Anti-Defamation League	Anti-Defamation League	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ, EOP	\$ 60,000
24	Applied Materials Inc	Applied Materials Inc	House, Senate DHS, DOS	\$ 300,000
25	Arkansas Farm Bureau	Arkansas Farm Bureau	Senate	\$ 60,000

* Figure reported is for money spent on all lobbying activity, January - June 2006

** The Coalition for Comprehensive Immigration Reform (CCIR) established America's Voice and began lobbying under that name in 2008. America's Voice claims to be a new group and does not disclose its affiliation with CCIR. In fact, America's Voice is registered under the same House and Senate identification numbers, previously used by CCIR, which according to the Senate Office of Public Records indicates that the lobbying arm of CCIR merely changed its name to America's Voice.

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year* 2006
26	Associated Builders & Contractors	Associated Builders & Contractors	House, Senate	\$ 1,000,000
27	Brinker Intl	Evans Capitol Group	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
28	Catholic Health Assn of the	Catholic Health Assn of the US	House, Senate	\$ 320,000
29	Center for Community	Center for Community Change	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
30	Center for Individual Freedom	Center for Individual Freedom	House, Senate, EOP	\$ 10,000
31	Centex Corp	Timmons & Co	House, Senate	\$ 180,000
32	Chrysler Corp	Timmons & Co	House, Senate	\$ 190,000
33	Churrascaria Fogo De Chao	Baker & McKenzie	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
34	Commission on Graduates of Foreign Nursing Schools	Baker & McKenzie	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
35	Conf of Provincials of North America	Natl Advocacy Center of the Sisters of the Good Sheherd	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
36	County of Los Angeles	Margolin Group, Stuntz Davis & Staffier	House, Senate, HHS, OMB, DHS, DOJ, EOP	\$ 120,000
37	Cross Match Technologies	Monument Policy Group	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ, EOP	n/a
38	Cummins Inc	Cummins Inc	House, Senate, DOC, DHS, DOL, DOS	\$ 720,000
39	Digimarc Corporation	Monument Policy Group	House, Senate DHS, DOS, DOT, EOP	n/a
40	eBay Inc	eBay Inc	House, Senate, DOJ, EOP	\$ 1,085,000
41	Electronic Data Systems Corp	Electronic Data Systems Corp	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 1,939,040
42	Essential Worker Immigration Coalition	Monument Policy Group, Mehlman Vogel Castagnetti Inc.	House, Senate, DHS, EOP	\$ 60,000
43	Exxon Mobil	Exxon Mobil	House, Senate	\$ 6,320,000
44	FAIR	FAIR	House, Senate	\$ 120,000
45	Friends Committee on Natl Legislation	Friends Committee on Natl Legislation	House, Senate	\$ 876,763
46	Grand Hotel Company	Mayer Brown Rowe & Maw LLP	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
47	Halliburton	Halliburton	House, Senate, DOS	\$ 10,000
48	Hewlett Packard Co	Hewlett Packard Co	House, Senate, EOP	\$ 340,000
49	Home Depot	Home Depot, C2 Group LLC, McGuinness Norris & Williams	House, Senate	\$ 330,000
50	HR Policy Assn	HR Policy Assn	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 360,000
51	Human Rights Campaign	Human Rights Campaign	House, Senate	\$ 520,969
52	Inst of Electrical & Electronics Engineers	Inst of Electrical & Electronics Engineers	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
53	Intercontinental Hotels Group	Jefferson Govt Relations	House, Senate	\$ 120,000
54	Intl Foodservice Distributors Assn	Intl Foodservice Distributors Assn	House, Senate	\$ 303,738
55	Intl Franchise Assn	Intl Franchise Assn	House, Senate, DOC, DHS, DOL, DOS, EOP	\$ 280,000
56	Intl Pizza Hut Franchise Assn	MITA Group LLC	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
57	Intl Union of Operating Engineers, AFL-CIO	Intl Union of Operating Engineers	House, Senate	\$ 120,000
58	Labor Ready	K & L Gates	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
59	Laborers' Intl Union of North America, Change To Win	Laborers' Intl Union of North America	House, Senate	\$ 10,000
60	Land O' Lakes	Land O' Lakes	House, Senate	\$ 40,000

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year* 2006
61	Massachusetts Inst of Technology	Massachusetts Inst of Technology	House, Senate	\$ 104,521
62	Mexican American Legal Defense & Education Fund	Mexican American Legal Defense & Education Fund	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ, EOP	n/a
63	MGM Mirage	Cassidy & Assn	House, Senate	\$ 140,000
64	Micron Technology	Micron Technology	House, Senate	\$ 980,000
65	Microsoft Corp	Clark & Weinstock, Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker	House, Senate	\$ 360,000
66	Miller Brewing Company	Miller Brewing Company	Senate	\$ 334,024
67	NAFSA, Assn of Intl Educators	NAFSA	House, Senate, DOC, DHS, DOL, DOS	\$ 180,000
68	Natl Alliance for Worker & Employer Rights	Natl Alliance for Worker & Employer Rights	House, Senate	\$ 38,000
69	Natl Assn of Community Health Centers	Natl Assn of Community Health Centers	House, Senate, HHS, EOP	\$ 380,000
70	Natl Assn of Home Builders	OB-C Group	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
71	Natl Assn of Manufacturers	Natl Assn of Manufacturers	House, Senate, DHS, DOL, DOS, USTR	\$ 240,000
72	Natl Assn of Public Hospitals and Health Systems	Natl Assn of Public Hospitals and Health Systems, Powell Goldstein LLP	House, Senate, HHS	\$ 470,000
73	Natl Business Travel Assn	Monument Policy Group	House, Senate, DHS, DOS, EOP	n/a
74	Natl Chicken Council	Natl Chicken Council, Hogan & Hartson LLP	House, Senate	\$ 30,000
75	Natl Club Assn	Natl Club Assn	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
76	Natl Council of Farmer Coops	Natl Council of Farmer Coops	House, Senate	\$ 493,000
77	Natl Council of La Raza	Natl Council of La Raza	House, Senate, DHS, EOP	\$ 336,180
78	Natl Immigration Forum	Natl Immigration Forum	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 60,000
79	Natl Milk Producers Federation	Garrison Group	House, Senate	\$ 13,000
80	Natl Multi-Housing Council	Natl Multi-Housing Council	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 470,000
81	Natl Parks & Conservation Assn	Natl Parks & Conservation Assn	Senate	\$ 246,000
82	Natl PTA	Natl PTA	House, Senate	\$ 210,000
83	Natl Restaurant Assn	National Restaurant Assn	House, Senate, DOJ, DOS	\$ 10,000
84	Natl Turkey Federation	Natl Turkey Federation	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
85	Natl Venture Capital Assn	Natl Venture Capital Assn	House, Senate	\$ 600,000
86	Nestle USA	Nestle USA	House, Senate	\$ 450,000
87	Northwestern Univ	Northwestern Univ	Senate	\$ 400,000
88	Open Society Policy Center	Open Society Policy Center	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 620,000
89	Oracle Corp	Oracle Corp	House, Senate	\$ 1,410,000
90	Panasonic Corp of North America	Panasonic Corp of North America	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 920,000
91	People for the American Way	People for the American Way	House, Senate	\$ 116,894
92	Princeton Univ	Princeton Univ	House	\$ 60,000
93	Principal Financial Group	Principal Financial Group	House, Senate	\$ 900,000
94	Qualcomm	Qualcomm	House, Senate	\$ 10,000
95	Semiconductor Industry Assn	Semiconductor Industry Assn	House, Senate, DHS, DOS, EOP	\$ 420,000

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year* 2006
96	Sheet Metal Workers Intl Assn, AFL-CIO	Sheet Metal Workers Intl Assn	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
97	Society for Human Resource Mngmt	Society for Human Resource Mngmt	House	\$ 100,413
98	Tahirih Justice Center	Tahirih Justice Center	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ	\$ 20,000
99	TALX Corp	Bryan Cave Strategies	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 80,000
100	Texas Instruments	Texas Instruments	House, Senate, EOP	\$ 1,360,000
101	Theodosiou, Phillip/Theodosiou Consultants	Theodosiou, Phillip/Theodosiou Consultants	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
102	Time Warner	Time Warner	House, Senate	\$ 1,960,000
103	Travel Industry Assn of America	Monument Policy Group	House, Senate, DHS, DOS, EOP	n/a
104	U.S. Chamber of Commerce	Chamber of Commerce of the USA	House, Senate, DOC, DHS, DOL, DOS, USTR, EOP	\$ 14,300,000
105	Unisys Corp	Unisys Corp	House, Senate	\$ 680,000
106	United Brotherhood of Carpenters & Joiners of America, Change To Win	United Brotherhood of Carpenters & Joiners of America	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
107	United Food & Commercial Workers Intl Union, Change to Win	United Food & Commercial Workers Intl Union	House, Senate	\$ 340,000
108	Univ of California	Univ of California	House, Senate, DHS, DOS	\$ 360,000
109	Univ of Nebraska	Univ of Nebraska	House, Senate	\$ 80,000
110	Univ of Pittsburgh	Univ of Pittsburgh	House, Senate	\$ 260,000
111	Univ of Wisconsin-Madison	Univ of Wisconsin-Madison	House, Senate	\$ 80,000

IMMIGRATION LOBBYING

Clients Registered to Lobby on H.R. 1348 and/or H.R. 1639, January to June 2007

	Client	Registrant(s)	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year 2007
1	Accenture LLP	Accenture LLP, BKSH & Associates	House, Senate	\$ 1,925,011
2	AFL-CIO	AFL-CIO	House, Senate	\$ 940,000
3	Alabama Employers for Immigration Reform	Bradley Arant Rose & White LLP	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
4	Alabama Farmers Federation	Alabama Farmers Federation	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
5	Altria Corporate Service Inc	Altria Corporate Service Inc	House, Senate	\$ 7,200,000
6	Amalgamated Transit Union, AFL-CIO	Amalgamated Transit Union	House, Senate	\$ 150,000
7	Amarillo Economic Development Corporation	Brownstein Hyatt & Farber, P.C.	House, Senate, DOE	\$ 80,000
8	American Assn of Community Colleges	American Assn of Community Colleges	House, Senate	\$ 30,000
9	American Assn of Intensive English Programs	Washington Partners LLC	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 10,000
10	American Bar Assn	American Bar Assn	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 660,000
11	American Council on Education	American Council on Education	Senate, DHS	\$ 149,089
12	American Electronics Assn	American Electronics Assn	House, Senate	\$ 1,000,000
13	American Farm Bureau Federation	American Farm Bureau Federation	House, Senate	\$ 2,570,000
14	American Federation Of State County & Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO	American Federation Of State County & Municipal Employees	House, Senate	\$ 1,060,000
15	American Health Care Assn	American Health Care Assn	House, Senate, CIS, HHS	\$ 860,000
16	American Hotel & Lodging Assn	American Hotel & Lodging Assn	House, Senate	\$ 120,000
17	American Immigration Lawyers Assn	American Immigration Lawyers Assn	House, Senate, DOC, DHS, DOJ, DOL, OMB, SSA, DOS, EOP	\$ 80,000
18	American Jewish Committee	American Jewish Committee	House, Senate, DOC, DHS, DOJ, EOP	\$ 108,619
19	American Legion	American Legion	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
20	American Meat Institute	American Meat Institute	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 140,000
21	American Nursery & Landscape Assn	American Nursery & Landscape Assn	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
22	American Nurses Assn	American Nurses Assn	Senate	\$ 497,766
23	American Symphony Orchestra League	American Symphony Orchestra League	House, Senate, CIS, DHS, NEA, DOS	\$ 20,000

	Client	Registrant(s)	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year 2007
24	Americans for Immigration Control Inc	Crosby Group LLC	House, Senate	\$ 10,000
25	Americans For Tax Reform	Americans For Tax Reform	House, Senate, EOP	\$ 597,000
26	America's Voice/CCIR	America's Voice	House, Senate	\$ 420,000
27	Anheuser-Busch	Timmons & Co.	House, Senate	\$ 160,000
28	Anti-Defamation League	Anti-Defamation League	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ, EOP	\$ 60,000
29	Applied Materials	Applied Materials	House, Senate, DOS	\$ 320,000
30	Assn of American Medical Colleges	Assn of American Medical Colleges	Senate	\$ 280,000
31	Assn of Independent Research Institutes	Lewis-Burke Assoc	Senate	\$ 40,000
32	Associated Builders & Contractors	Associated Builders & Contractors, Ulman Public Policy & Federal Relations	House, Senate, DOL, EOP	\$ 1,020,000
33	Brinker Intl	Evans Capitol Group	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
34	Building & Construction Trades Dept, AFL-CIO	Building & Construction Trades Dept, AFL-CIO, Keelen Group LLC	Senate	\$ 245,000
35	Business Roundtable	Business Roundtable	House, Senate, EOP	\$ 4,600,000
36	CA Inc	CA Inc	House, Senate	\$ 424,072
27	California Institute of Technology	Lewis-Burke Assn	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
38	Catholic Health Assn of the US	Catholic Health Assn of the US	House, Senate	\$ 280,000
39	Center for Individual Freedom	Center for Individual Freedom	House, Senate, EOP	\$ 10,000
40	Center on Budget & Policy Priorities	Center on Budget & Policy Priorities	Senate	\$ 251,938
41	Centex Corp	Timmons & Co	House, Senate	\$ 180,000
42	Coalition for Comprehensive Immigration Reform (See America's Voice)	Coalition for Comprehensive Immigration Reform	House, Senate	Registered to lobby but reported no spending under this name.
43	College & Univ Professional Assn for Human Resources	Ulman Public Policy & Federal Relations	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
44	Compete America	Mehlman Vogel Castagnetti Inc	House, Senate, DOC, EOP, DHS	\$ 100,000
45	Conf of Provincials of North America	Natl Advocacy Center of the Sisters of the Good Sheherd	Senate	\$ 20,000
46	County of Los Angeles	Stuntz Davis & Staffier	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 60,000

	Client	Registrant(s)	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year 2007
47	Cross Match Technologies	Cross Match Technologies, Siff & Lake, Monument Policy Group	House, Senate, DHS, EOP, DOS	\$ 443,000
48	Cummins Inc	Cummins Inc	House, Senate, DOL, DOS, DOC	\$ 760,000
49	Dairy Farmers of America Inc	Dairy Farmers of America Inc	House, Senate	\$ 480,000
50	Deere & Company	Deere & Company	House, Senate	\$ 780,000
51	Deloitte (& Touche) LLP	Deloitte (& Touche) LLP	House, Senate, DOL, CIS	\$ 1,060,000
52	Digimarc Corporation	Monument Policy Group	House, Senate DHS, DOS, DOT, EOP	\$ 90,000
53	Disney Worldwide Services	Disney Worldwide Services	House, Senate	\$ 2,140,000
54	eBay Inc	eBay Inc	House, Senate, EOP, DOJ	\$ 985,000
55	Educational Testing Services	Legislative Strategies Inc	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
56	Electronic Data Systems Corp	Electronic Data Systems Corp	Senate, DHS	\$ 1,836,324
57	Emerson Electric Co	Emerson Electric Co	House, Senate	\$ 294,200
58	English First	English First	House, Senate	\$ 193,424
59	Ernst & Young	Ernst & Young	House, Senate	\$ 1,337,060
60	Essential Worker Immigration Coalition	Monument Policy Group	House, Senate, DHS, EOP	\$ 22,500
61	Exxon Mobil	Exxon Mobil	House, Senate	\$ 6,440,000
62	Families USA Foundation	Families USA Foundation	Senate	\$ 20,000
63	FAIR	FAIR	House, Senate, EOP	\$ 80,000
64	Federation of Korean Industries	Duberstein Group	House, Senate, DHS, DOS, USTR	\$ 200,000
65	Financial Services Roundtable	Financial Services Roundtable	House, Senate, Treasury, EOP	\$ 3,160,000
66	First Pioneer Farm Credit	First Pioneer Farm Credit	House, Senate, USDA, DHS, FCA	\$ 18,900
67	Friends Committee on Natl Legislation	Friends Committee on Natl Legislation	House, Senate	\$ 865,902
68	General Electric Co	General Electric Co	House, Senate	\$ 9,800,000
69	Georgia Institute of Technology	Lewis-Burke Associates, Georgia Institute of Technology	House, Senate	\$ 120,000
70	Golden Horizons	Golden Horizons	House, Senate, HHS, DOS	\$ 240,250
71	Goldman Sachs Group, Inc	Goldman Sachs Group, Inc	Senate	\$ 1,340,000
72	Green Industry Council	Lawrence Bewley & Assoc	House	\$ 10,000
73	Halliburton Co	Halliburton Co	House, Senate, DOS	\$ 150,000
74	Hewlett Packard Co	Hewlett Packard Co, Hobbs Group	House, Senate, EOP, DOC	\$ 1,120,000

	Client	Registrant(s)	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year 2007
75	Home Depot	Home Depot, C2 Group LLC, McGuinness Norris & Williams	House, Senate, DOC, EOP	\$ 680,000
76	HR Policy Assn	HR Policy Assn, McGuinness & Yager LLP	House, Senate	\$ 313,950
77	Human Rights First	Human Rights First	House, Senate, DOS, DHS, NSC	\$ 60,000
78	IBM	IBM, Monument Policy Group	House, Senate, DHS, EOP	\$ 3,979,643
79	Immigration Voice	Patton Boggs LLP	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
80	Institute of Electrical & Electronics Engineers	Institute of Electrical & Electronics Engineers	House, Senate	\$ 120,178
81	Intercontinental Hotels Group	Jefferson Government Relations	House, Senate, DOC, EOP	\$ 200,000
82	Intl Assn of Amusement Parks & Attractions	Intl Assn of Amusement Parks & Attractions	House, Senate	\$ 350,000
83	Intl Assn of Bridge, Structural, Ornamental & Reinforcing Iron Workers, AFL-CIO	Intl Assn of Bridge, Structural, Ornamental & Reinforcing Iron Workers	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
84	Intl Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Iron Shipbuilders, Blacksmiths, Forgers and Helpers, AFL-CIO	Valis & Keelen, LLC	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
85	Intl Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO	Intl Brotherhood of Electrical Workers	House, Senate	\$ 225,000
86	Intl Brotherhood of Teamsters, Change To Win	Intl Brotherhood of Teamsters	House, Senate	\$ 225,000
87	Intl Foodservice Distributors Assn	Intl Foodservice Distributors Assn	House, Senate	\$ 338,840
88	Intl Franchise Assn	Intl Franchise Assn	House, Senate, EOP, DHS, DOS, DOL, DOC, SBA	\$ 300,000
89	Intl Union of Bricklayers & Allied Craftworkers, AFL-CIO	Intl Union of Bricklayers & Allied Craftworkers	House, Senate	\$ 64,000
90	Intl Union of Operating Engineers, AFL-CIO	Intl Union of Operating Engineers	House, Senate	\$ 140,000
91	Intl Union of Painters & Allied Trades, AFL-CIO	Keelen Group, LLC	House, Senate	n/a
92	JBS Swift & Company	Leshner, Russell & Barron, Inc	House, Senate, USDA, DHS, EOP	\$ 80,000
94	JP Morgan Chase & Co	JP Morgan Chase & Co	House, Senate, Treasury, FED	\$ 2,300,000
94	Kimberly-Clark Corp	Kimberly-Clark Corp, Richard H. Kimberly	House, Senate	\$ 60,000

	Client	Registrant(s)	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year 2007
95	Laborers' Intl Union of North America, Change To Win	Laborers' Intl Union of North America	Senate	\$ 10,000
96	Mariott International Inc	Jaka Consulting Group	House, Senate	n/a
97	Mason Contractors Assn of America	Mason Contractors Assn of America	House, Senate	\$ 10,000
98	MGM Mirage	Cassidy & Associates	House, Senate	\$ 160,000
99	Michigan State Univ	Michigan State Univ	House, Senate	\$ 140,000
100	Micron Technology	Micron Technology, Timmons & Co	House, Senate	\$ 584,659
101	Microsoft Corp	Global Strategic Partners, Johnson, Madigan, Peck, Boland & Stewart, Inc., Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Preston Gates Ellis (K & L Gates), Microsoft Corp, Monument Policy Group, Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker, Ryan, Phillips, Utrecht & MacKinnon, Stanton Park Group	House, DHS, OMB, ICE, EOP, DOL, DOS, DOC	\$ 5,795,000
102	Miller Brewing Co	Miller Brewing Company, Fierce Isakowitz & Blalock	House, Senate	\$ 700,000
103	Motorola	Motorola	House	\$ 3,420,000
104	Natl American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee	NAAA-ADC	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
105	NAFSA, Assn of Intl Educators	NAFSA	House, Senate, DHS, DOS	\$ 190,000
106	National Council of Farmer Cooperatives	National Council of Farmer Cooperatives	House, Senate, USDA, DOC, DHS	\$ 460,000
107	Natl Alliance for Worker & Employer Rights	Natl Alliance for Worker & Employer Rights	Senate	\$ 50,000
108	Natl Assn of Community Health Centers	Natl Assn of Community Health Centers	HHS, EOP, OMB	\$ 280,000
109	Natl Assn of Computer Consultant Businesses	Natl Assn of Computer Consultant Businesses	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
110	Natl Assn of Home Builders	Natl Assn of Home Builders, OB-C Group	House, Senate	\$ 1,320,000
111	Natl Assn of Manufacturers	Natl Assn of Manufacturers	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 400,000
112	Natl Assn of Public Hospitals and Health Systems	Natl Assn of Public Hospitals and Health Systems, Powell Goldstein LLP	House, Senate, HHS	\$ 730,000
113	Natl Assn of Wholesaler-Distributors	Natl Assn of Wholesaler-Distributors	Senate, EOP	\$ 331,027

	Client	Registrant(s)	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year 2007
114	Natl Business Travel Assn	Monument Policy Group	House, Senate, DHS, DOS, DOC, EOP	\$ 79,500
115	Natl Club Assn	Natl Club Assn	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
116	Natl Council of Farmer Cooperatives	Natl Council of Farmer Cooperatives	House, Senate, USDA, DOC, DHS	\$ 460,000
117	Natl Council of La Raza	Natl Council of La Raza	House, Senate, CIS, DOC, DHS, EOP	\$ 340,000
118	Natl Electrical Contractors Assn	Natl Electrical Contractors Assn	House, Senate	\$ 10,000
119	Natl Hispanic Medical Assn	Natl Hispanic Medical Assn	House, Senate	\$ 10,000
120	Natl Immigration Forum	Natl Immigration Forum	House, Senate, EOP	\$ 40,000
121	Natl Lumber & Building Material Dealers Assn	Natl Lumber & Building Material Dealers Assn	House, Senate	\$ 159,151
122	Natl Milk Producers Federation	Natl Milk Producers Federation, Garrison Group	House, Senate	\$ 276,000
123	Natl Multi Housing Council	Natl Multi Housing Council	House, Senate	\$ 310,000
124	Natl Restaurant Assn	Natl Restaurant Assn	House, Senate	\$ 960,000
125	Natl Retail Federation	Natl Retail Federation	House, Senate, DOJ	\$ 880,000
126	Natl Roofing Contractors Assn	Natl Roofing Contractors Assn	House, Senate	\$ 300,000
127	Natl Utility Contractors Assn	Natl Utility Contractors Assn	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
128	Network (Wash. DC)	Network	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
129	Network Appliance Inc	Network Appliance Inc	House, Senate	\$ 160,000
130	New England Council	New England Council	Senate	\$ 40,000
131	New Mexico State Univ	Lewis-Burke Associates	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
132	New York Farm Bureau Inc	New York Farm Bureau Inc	House, Senate, DHS, DOS, CBP, ICE	\$ 40,000
133	New York Univ	New York Univ	House, Senate	\$ 240,000
134	Nokia Inc	Nokia Inc	House, Senate, DOC	\$ 110,000
135	Northwestern Univ	Northwestern Univ	House, Senate	\$ 400,000
136	Open Society Policy Center	Open Society Policy Center	House, Senate, DHS, NSC, DOS	\$ 580,000
137	Oracle Corp	Oracle Corp, DC Navigators, Dickstein Shapiro LLP, Var II LLC	House, Senate, DHS, DOS, EOP, DOC	\$ 2,140,000
138	Oregon Farm Bureau Federation	Oregon Farm Bureau Federation	House, Senate, DHS, DOL	\$ 40,000
139	People for the American Way	People for the American Way	House, Senate	\$ 181,933
140	Princeton Univ	Princeton Univ	Senate	\$ 80,000
141	Principal Financial Group	Principal Financial Group	House, Senate	\$ 200,000
142	Qualcomm	Quinn Gillespie & Associates, Qualcomm	House, Senate, DOC, USDA, EOP, HHS, DHS, USTR	\$ 3,080,000

	Client	Registrant(s)	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year 2007
143	Retail Industry Leaders Assn	Retail Industry Leaders Assn	House, Senate, DOC	\$ 200,000
144	RetireSafe	Alfred W. Cors Jr.	House, Senate	\$ 47,575
145	Rutgers	Rutgers	House, Senate	\$ 200,000
146	Semiconductor Equipment and Materials Intl	Semiconductor Equipment and Materials Intl	House, Senate	\$ 200,000
147	Service Employees Intl Union	Service Employees Intl Union	House, Senate	No report for this period. SEIU reported spending \$502,000 for all of 2007.
148	Sheet Metal Workers Intl Assn, AFL-CIO	Sheet Metal Workers Intl Assn	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
149	Siff & Lake LLP	Cerda, Victor X	House, Senate, EOP, DHS	n/a
150	Society for Human Resource Mngmt	Society for Human Resource Mngmt	House, Senate	\$ 872,303
151	Software & Information Industry Assn	Software & Information Industry Assn	House, Senate	\$ 300,000
152	South East Dairy Farmer Assn	Garrison Group	House, Senate	\$ 66,000
153	Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide	DLA Piper US LLP	House, Senate	\$ 500,000
154	Tahirih Justice Center	Tahirih Justice Center	DHS	\$ 20,000
155	Texas Farm Bureau	Texas Farm Bureau	Senate	\$ 40,000
156	Texas Instruments	Texas Instruments	House, Senate, DHS,DOC, EOP	\$ 1,280,000
157	Time Warner	Time Warner, Duberstein Group	House, Senate	\$ 1,779,000
158	Travel Industry Assn of America	Monument Policy Group	House, Senate, DHS, EOP, DOS	\$ 120,000
159	Tree Care Industry Assn	Ulman Public Policy & Federal Relations	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
160	Trueblue Inc	K & L Gates	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
161	Trustees of the Univ of Pennsylvania	Trustees of the Univ of Pennsylvania	House, Senate	\$ 130,902
162	Tulane Univ	Lewis-Burke Associates	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
163	Tyson Foods	Tyson Foods	House, Seante, DOC, DHS, EOP	\$ 550,000
164	U.S. Border Control	Pearson & Pipkin	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
165	U.S. Chamber of Commerce	Chamber of Commerce of USA	House, Senate, EOP, DHS, DOJ, DOL, DOS	\$11,780,000
166	United American Nurses, AFL-CIO	United American Nurses, AFL-CIO	House, Senate	\$ 10,000

	Client	Registrant(s)	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Mid-Year 2007
167	United Brotherhood of Carpenters & Joiners of America, Change to Win	Siff & Lake	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
168	United Food & Commercial Workers Intl, Change To Win	United Food & Commercial Workers Intl Union	House, Senate	\$ 300,000
169	United Fresh Produce Assn	Leshner, Russell & Barron, Inc	House, Senate, USDA, DHS, EOP	\$ 90,000
170	United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO	United Steelworkers of America	House, Senate	\$ 240,000
171	United Technologies Corp	United Technologies Corp	House, Senate	\$ 2,212,950
172	Univ of Chicago	Univ of Chicago	House, Senate	\$ 80,000
173	Univ of Cincinnati	Lewis-Burke Assn	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
174	Univ of Illinois	Univ of Illinois	House, Senate, CIS	\$ 60,000
175	Univ of Iowa	Univ of Iowa	House, Senate, DOS	\$ 80,000
176	Univ of Medicine & Dentistry of New Jersey	Univ of Medicine & Dentistry of New Jersey	House, Senate	\$ 120,000
177	Univ of Pittsburgh	Univ of Pittsburgh	House, Senate	\$ 180,000
178	Univ of Southern California	Lewis-Burke Associates LLC	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
179	Univ of Wisconsin Madison	Univ of Wisconsin Madison	House, Senate	\$ 80,000
180	Verizon & ITS Affiliates, Except Verizon Wireless	Verizon & ITS Affiliates, Except Verizon Wireless	House, Senate	\$ 3,400,000
181	Wal-Mart Stores Inc	Podesta Group Inc	House, Senate	\$ 120,000
182	Western United Dairymen	Garrison Group	House, Senate	\$ 33,000
183	Weyerhaeuser Co	Weyerhaeuser Co	House, Senate	\$ 1,060,000

IMMIGRATION LOBBYING

Clients Registered to Lobby on H.R. 5515, January to June 2008 (according to current reports)

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Total
1	AFL-CIO	AFL-CIO	House, Senate	\$ 1,200,000
2	Ag Coalition for Immigration Reform	McGuinness Norris & Williams, Siff & Lake LLP	House, Senate, USDA, DOC, EOP, DHS, DOL	\$ 130,000
3	Altria Corporate Services Inc	Altria Corporate Services Inc	House, Senate	\$ 6,970,000
4	American Assn of Community Colleges	American Assn of Community Colleges	House, Senate	\$ 70,000
5	American Bar Assn	American Bar Assn	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ, ICE	\$ 600,000
6	American Council of Engineering Cos	The American Council of Engineering Cos	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 1,388,772
7	American Council on Intl Personnel	Fragomen, Del Rey, Bernsen & Loewy LLP	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 5,000
8	American Electronic Assn	American Electronic Assn	House, Senate	\$ 512,000
9	American Farm Bureau Federation	American Farm Bureau Federation	House, Senate, DHS, DOL	\$ 1,440,000
10	American Federation of Govt Employees, AFL-CIO	American Federation of Govt Employees	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 622,048
11	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees	House, Senate	\$ 1,040,000
12	American Health Care Assn	American Health Care Assn	House, Senate	\$ 800,000
13	American Horse Council	American Horse Council	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
14	American Hospital Assn	American Hospital Assn	House, Senate	\$ 7,870,000
15	American Hotel & Lodging Assn	American Hotel & Lodging Assn	House, Senate, DOJ, EOP	\$ 700,000
16	American Immigration Lawyers Assn	American Immigration Lawyers Assn	House, Senate, DHS, EOP, DOS, DOJ, DOL, SSA, DOC, OMB	\$ 30,156
17	American Jewish Committee	American Jewish Committee	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ, EOP, DOC, CRF	\$ 131,576
18	American Legion	American Legion	House, Senate	\$ 120,000
19	American Meat Institute	American Meat Institute, Siff & Lake LLP	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 180,000
20	American Mushroom	McLeod Watkinson & Miller	House, Senate, USDA	\$ 20,000
21	American Nursery & Landscape Assn	American Nursery & Landscape Assn, DC Legislative & Regulatory	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
22	American Nurses Assn	American Nurses Assn	House, Senate	\$ 498,734
23	American Psychology Assn	American Psychology Assn	House, Senate	\$ 299,636
24	American Rental Assn	American Rental Assn	House, Senate, DOL	\$ 140,000

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Total
25	American Resort Development Assn	Bockorny Group Inc	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
26	American Sheep Industry	Meyers and Associates	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
27	American Symphony Orchestra League	American Symphony Orchestra League	House, Senate, CIS	\$ 50,000
28	Americans for Immigration Control Inc	Crosby Group LLC	House	\$ 10,000
29	America's Promise - The Alliance for Youth	America's Promise - The Alliance for Youth	House	\$ 120,000
30	America's Voice/CCIR	America's Voice	House	\$ 20,000
31	Anti-Defamation League	Anti-Defamation League	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ, EOP	\$ 120,000
32	Applied Materials Inc	Applied Materials Inc	House, Senate, DOC	\$ 348,950
33	Arkansas Farm Bureau	Arkansas Farm Bureau	House, Senate	\$ 80,000
34	Assn of American	Assn of American	House	\$ 40,000
35	Assn of Community College Trustees	Assn of Community College Trustees	House, Senate	\$ 240,000
36	Associated Builders & Contractors	Associated Builders & Contractors, Ulman Public Policy & Federal Relations	House, Senate, SSA, DHS	\$ 2,050,000
37	Assn of American Medical Colleges	Assn of American Medical Colleges	House, Senate	\$ 260,000
38	Bearingpoint	Bearingpoint	House, Senate	\$ 1,000,000
39	Blue Diamond Growers	Tuttle Taylor & Heron	House, Senate	\$ 183,135
40	Bristol-Myers Squibb Co	Mr. Richard F. Hohlt	House, Senate	\$ 45,000
41	Broadway League	Quinn Gillespie & Associates	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
42	Building and Construction Trades Dept, AFL-CIO	Building and Construction Trades Dept, Christopher	House, Senate	\$ 430,000
43	Business Roundtable	Business Roundtable	House	\$ 4,980,000
44	CA Inc	CA Inc	House, Senate	\$ 562,896
45	California Dairies Inc	Foley & Lardner LLP	House, Senate, USDA	\$ 100,000
46	California Institute of	Lewis-Burke Associates LLC	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
47	Campaign for Youth Justice	Campaign for Youth Justice	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
48	Catholic Health Assn of the	Catholic Health Assn of the	House	\$ 310,000
49	Center for American Progress Action Fund	Winning Strategies Washington	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
50	Center for Community	Center for Community	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
51	Center for Individual	Center for Individual Freedom	House, Senate	\$ 110,000
52	Change to Win	Change to Win	House, Senate, DOL, DHS	\$ 130,000
53	Chrysler	Chrysler	House, Senate	\$ 2,781,400
54	Church of Scientology Intl	The Mitchell Firm Inc	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
55	Citigroup Inc	Citigroup Inc	House, Senate, DOC	\$ 2,850,000
56	City of Virginia Beach	Alcade & Fay	House, Senate	\$ 80,000

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Total
57	College and Univ Assn for Human Resources	College and Univ Assn for Human Resources	House, Senate, DHS, SSA	\$ 80,000
58	Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands	Oldaker, Biden & Blair LLP	House, Senate, DHS, DOI	\$ 5,000
59	Compete America	Mehlman Vogel Castagnetti Inc	House, Senate, EOP, DOC, DHS	\$ 25,000
60	Comptia	Comptia	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 328,007
61	Conf of Provincials of North America	Natl Advocacy Center of the Sisters of the Good Sheherd	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 10,000
62	Cornell University	Cornell University	House, Senate	\$ 195,000
63	County of Los Angeles	Stuntz Davis & Staffier	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ	\$ 60,000
64	Cruise Lines Intl Assn	Potomac Partners DC	House, Senate	n/a
65	Ctr for Intl Education	Ctr for Intl Education	House, Senate	\$ 60,946
66	Cummins Inc	Cummins Inc	House, Senate, DOC, DHS, DOL, DOS	\$ 820,000
67	Dairy Farmers of America Inc	Dairy Farmers of America Inc	House, Senate	\$ 510,000
68	Dairy Producers of NM	Yale Law Office LP	House, Senate	\$ 10,000
69	Dance/USA	Dance/USA	House, Senate, DHS, NEA, CIS, IRS	\$ 10,000
70	Darden Restaurants	Darden Restaurants	House	\$ 500,275
71	Daybrook Fisheries Inc	Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrere & Denegre, The Livingston	House, Senate	\$ 25,000
72	Deere & Company	Deere & Company	House, Senate	\$ 860,000
73	Deloitte (& Touche) LLP	Deloitte (& Touche) LLP	DOL, ICE	\$ 890,000
74	Digimarc Corporations	Digimarc Corporations	House, Senate, EOP, FEMA, DHS, DOT, TSA, US CBP, OMB	\$ 370,000
75	eBay Inc	eBay Inc	House, Senate	\$ 1,113,500
76	Electronic Data Systems	Electronic Data Systems	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 1,773,000
77	Enterprise Rent-a-car	Kelley Drye & Warren	House, Senate	\$ 65,000
78	Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc.	Innovative Federal Strategies LLC	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
79	Ernst & Young	Elmendorf Strategies	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
80	FAIR	FAIR	House, Senate, EOP	\$ 100,000
81	Fairplex	Hillscape Assn	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
82	Family Research Council	Family Research Council	House, Senate	\$ 56,400
83	Federation of Korean Industries	Duberstein Group	House, Senate, DHS, DOS, USTR	\$ 200,000
84	Financial Service Roundtable	Financial Service Roundtable	House, Senate, Treasury, EOP	\$ 4,360,000
85	First Pioneer Farm Credit	First Pioneer Farm Credit	House, Senate, USDA, DHS, DOL	\$ 23,822

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Total
86	Friends Committee on Natl Legislation	Friends Committee on Natl Legislation	House	\$ 1,260,143
87	General Electric Co	General Electric Co	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 9,600,000
88	Georgia Institute of	Lewis-Burke Associates LLC	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
89	Golden Horizons	Golden Horizons	House, Senate	\$ 191,276
90	Golf Course Superintendents Assn of America	Golf Course Superintendents Assn of America	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
91	Google Inc	Google Inc	House, Senate	\$ 1,350,000
92	Grand Hotel Company Inc	Mayer Brown LLP	House, Senate	\$ 150,000
93	Halliburton	Halliburton	House, Senate, DOS	\$ 100,000
94	Harrah's Operating Company	US Strategies	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
95	Hawaiian Airlines	Dow Lohnes PLLC	House, Senate, DOT, DHS	\$ 10,000
96	Hebrew Immigrant Aid	Hebrew Immigrant Aid	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 37,556
97	Hewlett-Packard	Hewlett-Packard	House, Senate, DOC, EOP, DHS, DOL	\$ 850,000
98	Home Depot	McGuiness Norris & Williams	House, Senate	n/a
99	HR Policy Assn	HR Policy Assn, McGuiness & Yager LLP	House, Senate	\$ 960,000
100	Human Rights Campaign	Human Rights Campaign	House, Senate	\$ 780,000
101	Human Rights First	Human Rights First	House, Senate, DOS, DHS	\$ 50,000
102	Humanity United	The Sheridan Group	House, Senate, HHS, DOJ, DOS	\$ 252,000
103	IBM	IBM, Monument Policy Group	House, Senate, EOP, DHS	\$ 3,900,000
104	Immigration Equality	The Raben Group	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
105	Immigration Voice	Global Strategic Partners, Patton Boggs LLP, Quinn Gillespie & Associates	House, Senate	\$ 130,000
106	Indiana Chamber of	Indiana Chamber of	House	\$ 25,000
107	Infineon Technologies North America Corp	Kelley Drye & Warren	House, Senate	\$ 15,000
108	Information Technology Assn of America	PLM Group LLC	House, Senate	\$ 90,000
109	Information Technology Industry Council	Information Technology Industry Council	House, Senate, EOP	\$ 755,910
110	Innovative Federal Strategies, LLC	Hillscape Associates	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
111	Institute of Electrical & Electronics Engineers	Institute of Electrical & Electronics Engineers	House, Senate	\$ 48,129
112	Intel Corp	Intel Corp	House, Senate	\$ 1,069,789
113	Intl Assn of Amusement Parks & Attractions	Intl Assn of Amusement Parks & Attractions	House, Senate	\$ 360,000

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Total
114	Intl Assn of Bridge, Structural, Ornamental & Reinforcing Iron Workers,	Intl Assn of Bridge, Structural, Ornamental & Reinforcing Iron Workers	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
115	Intl Brotherhood of Teamsters, Change To Win	Intl Brotherhood of Teamsters	House, Senate, DOL	\$ 487,000
116	Intl Franchise Assn	Intl Franchise Assn	House, Senate, EOP, DHS, DOS, DOL, DOC, SBA	\$ 300,000
117	Intl Union of Operating Engineers, AFL-CIO	Intl Union of Operating Engineers	House, Senate	\$ 190,000
118	Invest in the US Assn	Cartwright & Riley Inc	House, Senate, CIS	\$ 20,000
119	Jewish Federation of Metropolitan Chicago	Jewish Federation of Metropolitan Chicago	House, Senate, HUD, HHS	\$ 134,771
120	Kansas Farm Bureau	Kansas Farm Bureau	House, Senate	\$ 200,000
121	KPMG	KPMG	House, Senate, DHS, DOL, EOP	\$ 1,150,000
122	Laborers' Intl Union of North America, Change To Win	Laborers' Intl Union of North America	House, Senate	\$ 387,868
123	Land O' Lakes	Land O'Lakes, Fleishman-Hillard Govt Relations	House, Senate	\$ 330,000
124	Leadership Conf on Civil	Leadership Conf on Civil	House, DHS, DOL	\$ 494,518
125	Major League Baseball Players Assn	Kevin McGuiness PLLC	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
126	Maricopa County Community Colleges District	Parry, Romani, Deconcini & Systems	House	\$ 60,000
127	Marriott Intl Inc.	Marriott Intl Inc.	House, Senate	\$ 460,000
128	Micron Technology	Micron Technology, Timmons & Co	House	\$ 860,000
129	Microsoft Corp	Microsoft Corp, D&P Creative Strategies LLC, Global Strategic Partners, Johnson, Madigan, Peck, Boland & Stewart Inc, Paul Hastings	House, Senate, DOC, DOL, EOP, DHS, OMB, DOS, USTR, CIS	\$ 5,505,000
130	Motorola Inc	Motorola Inc	House, Senate	\$ 2,680,000
131	Natl American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee	NAAA-ADS, INC	House, Senate	\$ 40,000
132	Natl Assn of Software & Services Cos	Hill & Knowlton Inc	House, Senate	\$ 5,000
133	Natl Thoroughbred Racing	Alpine Group Inc	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
134	Natl Asphalt Pavement Assn	Natl Asphalt Pavement Assn	House	\$ 121,708
135	Natl Assn of Computer Consultant Businesses	Natl Assn of Computer Consultant Businesses	House, Senate	\$ 80,000
136	Natl Assn of Home Builders	Natl Assn of Home Builders, OB-C Group	House, Senate	\$ 3,060,000

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Total
137	Natl Assn of Police	Natl Assn of Police	House, Senate	\$ 80,000
138	Natl Assn of Manufacturers	Natl Assn of Manufacturers	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 5,140,000
139	Natl Assn of Realtors	Natl Assn of Realtors	House, Senate	\$ 6,880,000
140	Natl Business Travel Assn	Natl Business Travel Assn, Monument Policy Group	House, Senate, DOC, DOD, EOP, FAA, GAO, DHS, OMB, DOS, DOT, TSA, CBP	\$ 260,000
141	Natl Chicken Council	Natl Chicken Council, Hogan & Hartson LLP	House, Senate	\$ 80,000
142	Natl Club Assn	Natl Club Assn	House	\$ 20,000
143	Natl Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare	Natl Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare, Sandra Wise	House, Senate	\$ 980,000
144	Natl Council of Ag	Siff & Lake, LLP	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
145	Natl Council of Farmer Cooperatives	Natl Council of Farmer Cooperatives	House, Senate, USDA, DOC, DHS	\$ 480,000
146	Natl Council of Jewish	Natl Council of Jewish	House	\$ 40,000
147	Natl Council of La Raza	Natl Council of La Raza	House, Senate	\$ 350,000
148	Natl Council of Social Security Management Assns	Greystone Group LLC	House, Senate	\$ 44,636
149	Natl Education Assn	Natl Education Assn	House	\$ 596,510
150	Natl Electrical Manufacturers Assn	Natl Electrical Manufacturers Assn	House, Senate	\$ 1,340,000
151	Natl Grocers Assn	Natl Grocers Assn	House, Seante, OMB, USDA	\$ 130,000
152	Natl Immigration Forum	Natl Immigration Forum, The Raben Group	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 205,000
153	Natl Milk Producers Federation	Natl Milk Producers Federation, Garrison Group	House, Senate	\$ 395,000
154	Natl Multi-Housing Council	Natl Multi-Housing Council	House, Senate	\$ 644,000
155	Natl Parks & Conservation	Natl Parks & Conservation	House	\$ 75,094
156	Natl Pork Producers Council	Natl Pork Producers Council	House, Senate	\$ 373,759
157	Natl PTA	Natl PTA	House, Senate	\$ 350,000
158	Natl Retail Federation	Natl Retail Federation	House, Senate, ICE	\$ 1,180,000
159	Natl Roofing Contractors	Natl Roofing Contractors	House, Senate	\$ 300,000
160	Natl Turkey Federation	Natl Turkey Federation	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 160,000
161	Natl Utility Contractors Assn	Natl Utility Contractors Assn	House, DHS	\$ 130,000
162	Natl Venture Capital Assn	Natl Venture Capital Assn	House, Senate	\$ 1,131,015
163	NetApp Inc	NetApp Inc	House, Senate	\$ 195,000
164	Network	Network	House	\$ 151,815
165	New Mexico State Univ	Lewis-Burke Associates LLC	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
166	Northern Colorado Stone Quarriers Assn	Stirling Strategies Services LLC	House, Senate	\$ 10,000
167	Northwestern Univ	Northwestern Univ	House, Senate	\$ 450,000

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Total
168	Natl Restaurant Assn	Natl Restaurant Assn	House, Senate, DHS, DOJ, DOS, DOL	\$ 1,184,600
169	NumbersUSA	Duetto Group, Timothy R. Rupli & Associates Inc, Olive Edwards & Cooper	House, Senate	\$ 300,000
170	NY Farm Bureau Inc	NY Farm Bureau Inc	House, Senate, DOL	\$ 50,000
171	Opera America	Opera America	House, Senate, DHS, NEA, CIS, IRS	\$ 20,000
172	Oracle Corp	Oracle Corp, Var II LLC	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 2,485,000
173	Panasonic Corp of North America	Panasonic Corp of North America	House, Senate	\$ 730,000
174	People for the American	People for the American Way	House, Senate	\$ 90,000
175	PLM Group LLC	Podesta Group Inc, The Livingston Group LLC	House, Senate	\$ 100,000
176	Plumbing-Heating-Cooling Contractors Assn	Plumbing-Heating-Cooling-Natl Assn	House, Senate, DHS	\$ 50,000
177	Pricewaterhousecooper	Pricewaterhousecooper	House, Senate, DHS, EOP	\$ 1,460,000
178	Principal Financial Group	Principal Financial Group	House, Senate	\$ 1,410,000
179	Qualcomm	Qualcomm, Quinn Gillespie & Associates	House, Senate, DOC, USDA, EOP, HHS, DHS, USTR	\$ 2,830,000
180	Refugees International	Refugees International	House, Senate, DOS	\$ 13,056
181	Retail Industry Leader	Retail Industry Leader	House, Senate, DOC	\$ 650,000
182	Retiresafe	Retiresafe, Alfred W. Cors,	House, Senate	\$ 301,227
183	Rockwell Collins Inc	Rockwell Collins Inc	House, Senate, USTR, DHS, DOS, DOC	\$ 445,354
184	Seaboard Corporation	Seaboard Corporation	House, Senate	\$ 74,000
185	Select Milk Producers Inc	Yale Law Office LP	House, Senate	\$ 50,000
186	Semiconductor Equipment and Materials Intl	Semiconductor Equipment and Materials Intl	House, Senate	\$ 200,000
187	Semiconductor Industry Assn	Semiconductor Industry Assn, Dewey & Leboeuf LLP, Dewey Ballantine, Elmwood	House, Senate	\$ 344,239
188	ServiceMaster Company	ServiceMaster Company, Heartland Solutions Group, Inc., Jenkins Hill Partners	House, Senate	\$ 582,345
189	Sheet Metal Workers Intl Assn, AFL-CIO	Sheet Metal Workers Intl Assn	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
190	Society for Human Resource Mngmt	Society for Human Resource Mngmt	House, Senate	\$ 1,562,883
191	Software & Information Industry Assn	Software & Information Industry Assn	House, Senate	\$ 417,886
192	South East Dairy Farmer	Garrison Group	House, Senate	\$ 66,000

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Total
193	Southern Shrimp Alliance	Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrere & Denegre, The Livingston	House, Senate	\$ 140,000
194	Tahirih Justice Center	Tahirih Justice Center	House, Senate	\$ 15,000
195	Technology Network	Technology Network	House, Senate	\$ 170,000
196	Texas Instruments	Texas Instruments	House, Senate, EOP, VP	\$ 1,740,000
197	Travel Business Roundtable	Travel Business Roundtable, BKSH & Associates	House, Senate, DOC, DHS, OMB, TSA, DOS, CBP, EOP	\$ 390,000
198	Travel Industry Assn of America	Travel Industry Assn of America	House, Senate, EOP, DHS, DOC, OMB, DOS, CBP, TSA	\$ 615,000
199	Tree Care Industry Assn	Ulman Public Policy & Federal Relations	House, Senate	\$ 20,000
200	Trustees of Columbia Univ	The Trustees of Columbia Univ	House, Senate, DOS, ICE	\$ 54,569
201	Tulane Univ	Lewis-Burke Associates, LLC	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
202	Tyson Foods	Tyson Foods	House, Senate	\$ 1,038,995
203	United American Nurses,	United American Nurses, AFL-	House, Senate	\$ 36,000
204	United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America, Change To Win	Christopher Heinz	House, Senate	\$ 80,000
205	United Dairymen of Arizona	Meyers and Associates	House, Senate	n/a
206	United Farm Workers, Change To Win	NVG LLC	House, Senate	\$ 50,000
207	United Food & Commercial Workers Intl Union, Change To Win	United Food & Commercial Workers Intl Union	House, Senate	\$ 560,000
208	United Services Automobile	United Services Automobile	House, Senate	\$ 4,033,473
209	United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO	United Steelworkers of America	House, Senate	\$ 300,000
210	Univ of California	Univ of California	House	\$ 520,000
211	Univ of Cincinnati	Lewis-Burke Associates LLC	House, Senate	\$ 70,000
212	Univ of Illinois	Univ of Illinois	House, Senate, CIS	\$ 100,000
213	Univ of Michigan	Univ of Michigan	House, Senate	\$ 340,000
214	Univ of North Carolina	Univ of North Carolina	House, Senate	\$ 230,000
215	Univ of Pittsburgh	Univ of Pittsburgh	House, Senate	\$ 350,000
216	Univ of Southern Cal	Lewis-Burke Associates LLC	House, Senate	\$ 80,000
217	Univ of Virginia	MWW Group	House, Senate	\$ 60,000
218	U.S. Apple Assn	US Apple Assn	House, Senate	\$ 65,000
219	U.S. Border Control	US Border Control	House, Senate, DHS, CBP, EOP	\$ 211,400
220	U.S. Border Patrol	Pearson & Pipkin	House, Senate	n/a

	Client	Registrant	Lobbied Govt Bodies	Total
221	U.S. Chamber of Commerce	Chamber of Commerce of the USA	House, Senate, GSA, OMB, DOC, DHS, DOL, DOS, EOP	\$ 17,710,000
222	Wal-Mart Stores Inc	Wal-Mart Stores Inc, Podesta Group Inc	House, Senate	\$ 3,780,000
223	Western Growers Assn	Tuttle Taylor & Heron	House, Senate	\$ 60,513
224	Western United Dairymen	Garrison Group	House, Senate	\$ 36,000
225	Weyerhaeuser Company	Weyerhaeuser Company	House, Senate	\$ 1,070,000

ABOUT FAIR

The Federation for American Immigration Reform (FAIR) is a national, nonprofit, public-interest, membership organization of concerned citizens who share a common belief that our nation's immigration policies must be reformed to serve the national interest.

FAIR seeks to improve border security, to stop illegal immigration, and to promote immigration levels consistent with the national interest – more traditional rates of about 300,000 a year.


With more than 250,000 members and supporters nationwide, FAIR is a non-partisan group whose membership runs the gamut from liberal to conservative. Our grassroots networks help concerned citizens use their voices to speak up for effective, sensible immigration policies that work for America's best interests.

FAIR's publications and research are used by academics and government officials in preparing new legislation. National and international media regularly turn to us to understand the latest immigration developments and to shed light on this complex subject. FAIR has been called to testify on immigration bills before Congress more than any organization in America.

SUPPORT FAIR

Your support is crucial to our ability to improve border security, stop illegal immigration, and promote immigration levels consistent with the national interest. You may join or donate online at www.fairus.org, toll-free by phone at (877) 627-3247, or complete the form below and mail to:





FAIR • Attn: Development • 25 Massachusetts Ave. NW Suite 330 • Washington, DC 20001



\$20 \$50 \$100 \$250 \$500 \$1,000 Other \$ _____

All contributions are tax-deductible.

I am making my donation by **check payable to FAIR**, or credit card (check one)

Name (as it appears on card): _____

Card Number: _____ Expiration Date: _____

Signature: _____ Amount: _____

I've included at least \$25 for a Gift Membership to:

Gift recipient's name: _____

Address: _____

City/State/Zip: _____

Stay Informed. Get Involved. Make a Difference!

I would like to receive the FAIR Immigration Report and Legislative Updates online.

I would like to receive only the Legislative Updates.

Here is my email address: _____



FAIR is one of a select few charitable organizations certified by the BBB Wise Giving Alliance. You can be sure we are operating responsibly and are committed to ethical standards.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Nancy S. Anthony, Chairman
Sharon Barnes
Henry M. Buhl, Vice President
Major General Douglas E. Caton, Ret., Treasurer
Pat Choate
Donald A. Collins

Sarah G. Epstein, Secretary
Frank Morris, Ph.D.
Roy C. Porter
Stephen B. Swensrud
John Tanton, M.D.
Alan Weeden

BOARD OF ADVISORS

Duke Austin
Hon. Louis Barletta
Gwat Bhattacharjie
Gerda Bikales
Hon. Brian Bilbray
J. Bayard Boyle, Jr.
Hugh Brien
John Brock
Torrey Brown, M.D.
Frances Burke, Ph.D.
Cleveland Chandler, Ph.D.
William W. Chip, Esq.
Clifford Colwell, M.D.
Alfred P. Doyle, M.D.
Paul Egan
Bonnie Erbe
Don Feder
Robert Gillespie
Otis W. Graham, Jr., Ph.D.
Robert E. Hannay
Lawrence E. Harrison
Edward H. Harte
Marilyn Hempel

Hon. Walter D. Huddleston
Diana Hull, Ph.D.
Hon. Fred C. Iklé
Mrs. T. N. Jordan
Hon. Richard Lamm
Yeh Ling Ling
Donald Mann
Henry Mayer, M.D.
Scott McConnell
James G. McDonald, Esq.
Helen Milliken
Nita Norman
Peter Nuñez
Robert D. Park
Fred Pinkham, Ph.D.
Bruce S. Reid
Colonel Albert F. Rodriguez, Ret.
Charles T. Roth
David M. Schippers, Esq.
Max Thelen, Jr.
Hon. Curtin Winsor, Jr.
Robert Zaitlin, M.D.



FEDERATION FOR AMERICAN IMMIGRATION REFORM

25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW • SUITE 330 • WASHINGTON, DC 20001 • (202) 328-7004 • WWW.FAIRUS.ORG

© COPYRIGHT **FAIR HORIZON PRESS™** JANUARY 2009. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.