

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Missouri
2/20/15 - 2/22/15 MOE +/- 4.1 Percentage Points

State

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Missouri	605	100.0	100.0	100.0

2002. How likely are you to vote in national elections?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Definitely	432	71.3	71.3	71.3
	Very likely	114	18.8	18.8	90.2
	Somewhat likely	59	9.8	9.8	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democratic	224	37.0	37.0	37.0
	Republican	212	35.0	35.0	72.0
	Independent	169	28.0	28.0	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

1. President Obama has announced his intention to take executive action that would allow about 5 million illegal immigrants to live and work legally in the United States. He plans to take this action without authorization from Congress. Do you support or oppose the president acting on his own to allow about 5 million illegal immigrants to remain in the U.S. and grant them work authorization?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	104	17.2	17.2	17.2
	Somewhat support	74	12.3	12.3	29.5
	Somewhat oppose	96	15.8	15.8	45.3
	Strongly oppose	297	49.1	49.1	94.4
	Don't know	34	5.6	5.6	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Missouri
2/20/15 - 2/22/15 MOE +/- 4.1 Percentage Points

2. The House of Representatives recently passed a bill that fully funds the Department of Homeland Security through the remainder of this year, but prohibits the use of that money to carry out the president's plan to shield millions of illegal aliens from deportation and grant them work authorization. Do you support or oppose passage of a bill that fully funds the Department of Homeland Security but prohibits the use of those funds to carry out the president's immigration plan?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly support	223	36.9	36.9	36.9
	Somewhat support	161	26.5	26.5	63.5
	Somewhat oppose	85	14.0	14.0	77.5
	Strongly oppose	79	13.0	13.0	90.5
	Don't know	57	9.5	9.5	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

3. Last year, Sen. Claire McCaskill opposed the president taking executive action on immigration, saying that 'executive orders are not the way' to fix our broken immigration policy. However, this month Senator McCaskill voted against legislation that would bar the use of federal funds to implement the president's plan to shield millions of illegal aliens from deportation and grant them work authorization. Which of the following statements best reflects your views on Sen. McCaskill's stance?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sen. McCaskill should support legislation to defund the president's executive action on immigration.	290	47.9	47.9	47.9
	Sen. McCaskill should oppose legislation to defund the president's executive action on immigration.	192	31.7	31.7	79.6
	Don't know.	124	20.4	20.4	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

4. President Obama has said that if Congress sends him a Department of Homeland Security bill that prohibits him from using funds to implement his executive action on immigration, he will veto the bill. In your opinion, would the president be justified in vetoing the Homeland Security funding bill because it limits his ability to carry out his plan to shield illegal aliens from deportation and grant them work authorization?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes, the president would be justified in vetoing the Homeland Security funding bill.	175	28.9	28.9	28.9
	No, the president would not be justified in vetoing the Homeland Security funding bill.	352	58.1	58.1	87.1
	Don't know.	78	12.9	12.9	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Missouri
2/20/15 - 2/22/15 MOE +/- 4.1 Percentage Points

5. If the House and Senate cannot come to an agreement on legislation to fund the Department of Homeland Security, there is a possibility that about 15 percent of the department's operations could be shutdown. The other 85 percent of DHS personnel are considered essential workers and would remain on the job even if a spending bill is not approved. In your opinion, who would be most responsible if a partial shutdown of DHS operations were to occur?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	House Republicans who insist on including provisions preventing the president from carrying out his executive action on	131	21.7	21.7	21.7
	Senate Democrats and President Obama who insist on allowing Homeland Security funds to be used to carry out the presiden	230	38.1	38.1	59.7
	Both would be equally responsible.	244	40.3	40.3	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

6. Supporters of the president's executive action on immigration argue that it would make the country more secure because it will help us identify the people who are currently living here illegally. Opponents of the president's plan argue that it would make the country less secure because it would divert resources and manpower away from the department's primary mission of securing the homeland. In your opinion, what effect would the president's executive action on immigration have on homeland security?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	It would make the country more secure.	114	18.8	18.8	18.8
	It would make the country less secure.	272	44.9	44.9	63.8
	It would have little or no effect on homeland security.	128	21.2	21.2	84.9
	Don't know.	91	15.1	15.1	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	272	45.0	45.0	45.0
	Female	333	55.0	55.0	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Missouri
2/20/15 - 2/22/15 MOE +/- 4.1 Percentage Points

What is your current employment status?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Working (full or part time)	345	57.0	58.2	58.2
	Temporarily unemployed and looking for work	28	4.6	4.7	62.9
	Temporarily unemployed and not looking for work	10	1.7	1.7	64.6
	Student	34	5.7	5.8	70.4
	Stay at home parent/spouse	52	8.5	8.7	79.1
	Retired	124	20.5	20.9	100.0
	Total	592	97.9	100.0	
	Missing	.00	13	2.1	
Total		605	100.0		

Household Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	< \$25K	109	18.1	19.6	19.6
	\$25-35K	74	12.2	13.2	32.8
	\$35-50K	92	15.3	16.5	49.3
	\$50-75K	144	23.8	25.7	75.0
	\$75-100K	67	11.1	12.0	87.1
	\$100-150K	59	9.7	10.5	97.6
	\$150K+	13	2.2	2.4	100.0
	Total	559	92.4	100.0	
Missing	0	46	7.6		
Total		605	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Missouri
2/20/15 - 2/22/15 MOE +/- 4.1 Percentage Points

Age group A

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	108	17.9	18.0	18.0
	30-49	228	37.7	38.0	56.0
	50-64	168	27.8	28.0	84.0
	65+	96	15.9	16.0	100.0
	Total	601	99.3	100.0	
Missing	0	4	.7		
Total		605	100.0		

Age group B

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	52	8.5	8.6	8.6
	25-34	111	18.3	18.4	27.0
	35-54	224	37.0	37.2	64.2
	55-69	167	27.6	27.7	92.0
	70+	48	8.0	8.0	100.0
	Total	601	99.3	100.0	
Missing	0	4	.7		
Total		605	100.0		

Generation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	First Globals	162	26.8	27.0	27.0
	Nikes	153	25.3	25.5	52.5
	Woodstockers	219	36.1	36.4	88.9
	Privates	67	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	601	99.3	100.0	
Missing	.00	4	.7		
Total		605	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Missouri
2/20/15 - 2/22/15 MOE +/- 4.1 Percentage Points

Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No College Degree	277	45.9	46.5	46.5
	College Degree+	319	52.7	53.5	100.0
	Total	596	98.6	100.0	
Missing	.00	9	1.4		
Total		605	100.0		

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White	459	75.9	78.0	78.0
	Hisp	18	2.9	3.0	81.0
	AfrAmer	94	15.6	16.0	97.0
	Asian	6	1.0	1.0	98.0
	Other	12	1.9	2.0	100.0
	Total	589	97.4	100.0	
Missing	.00	16	2.6		
Total		605	100.0		

Live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Lge City	134	22.1	22.4	22.4
	Sm City	103	17.0	17.3	39.7
	Suburbs	203	33.6	34.1	73.8
	Rural	157	25.9	26.2	100.0
	Total	597	98.7	100.0	
Missing	0	8	1.3		
Total		605	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Missouri
2/20/15 - 2/22/15 MOE +/- 4.1 Percentage Points

Ideology Recoded

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	95	15.7	17.0	17.0
	Moderate	225	37.2	40.3	57.2
	Conservative	239	39.5	42.8	100.0
	Total	559	92.3	100.0	
Missing	.00	46	7.7		
Total		605	100.0		

Religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Catholic	109	18.0	18.0	18.0
	Protestant	263	43.5	43.5	61.5
	Jewish	11	1.8	1.8	63.3
	Other/None	222	36.7	36.7	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

BornAgain

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	147	24.3	55.8	55.8
	No/NS	116	19.3	44.2	100.0
	Total	263	43.5	100.0	
Missing	0	342	56.5		
Total		605	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Missouri
2/20/15 - 2/22/15 MOE +/- 4.1 Percentage Points

Union member

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	83	13.6	13.9	13.9
	No	511	84.5	86.1	100.0
	Total	594	98.1	100.0	
Missing	0	11	1.9		
Total		605	100.0		

Child under 17?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	169	27.9	28.4	28.4
	No	425	70.3	71.6	100.0
	Total	594	98.1	100.0	
Missing	0	11	1.9		
Total		605	100.0		

Residency

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	My city or town	186	30.8	31.7	31.7
	America	307	50.7	52.1	83.8
	The planet earth	95	15.7	16.2	100.0
	Total	588	97.2	100.0	
Missing	0	17	2.8		
Total		605	100.0		

Lost a job due to corporate downsizing within the past year? <hr>

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	46	7.5	7.5	7.5
	No	559	92.5	92.5	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative

Zogby Analytics Online Survey of Likely Voters in Missouri
2/20/15 - 2/22/15 MOE +/- 4.1 Percentage Points

Been afraid of losing a job within the next year? <hr>

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	136	22.5	22.5	22.5
	No	469	77.5	77.5	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

Worked at a job that pays less than an immediate previous job? <hr>

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	195	32.3	32.3	32.3
	No	410	67.7	67.7	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

Gone without food for 24 hours in the past month due to a lack of food or money? <hr>

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	54	8.9	8.9	8.9
	No	551	91.1	91.1	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

Veteran/Armed Forces?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	124	20.5	20.5	20.5
	No	481	79.5	79.5	100.0
	Total	605	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative